## IN PERSON \& VIRTUAL BOARD MEETING

## NOVEMBER 1, 2023 AT 8:30 AM* INSURANCE, BENEFITS \& LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

*The Committee meeting will be held prior to the Board of Retirement meeting scheduled prior.


## TO VIEW VIA WEB

https://lacera.com/leadership/board-meetings


## TO PROVIDE PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Committee orally and in writing. To provide Public Comment, you should visit the above link and complete the request form by selecting whether you will provide oral or written comment from the options located under Options next to the Committee meeting.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION 300 N. LAKE AVENUE, SUITE 650, PASADENA, CA

Attention: If you have any questions, you may email PublicComment@lacera.com. If you would like to make a public comment during the committee meeting, review the Public Comment instructions.

## AGENDA

## A REGULAR MEETING OF THE INSURANCE, BENEFITS \& LEGISLATIVE

## COMMITTEE AND BOARD OF RETIREMENT*

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION

 300 N. LAKE AVENUE, SUITE 810, PASADENA, CA 911018:30 A.M., WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2023
This meeting will be conducted by the Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee and Board of Retirement both in person and by teleconference under California Government Code Sections 54953(f).

Any person may view the meeting in person at LACERA's offices or online at https://LACERA.com/leadership/board-meetings.

The Committee may take action on any item on the agenda, and agenda items may be taken out of order.

## COMMITTEE TRUSTEES:

Les Robbins, Chair
Vivian H. Gray, Vice Chair
Shawn R. Kehoe, Trustee
Ronald Okum, Trustee
JP Harris, Alternate Trustee
I. CALL TO ORDER
II. PROCEDURE FOR TELECONFERENCE MEETING ATTENDANCE UNDER AB 2449, California Government Code Section 54953(f)
A. Just Cause
B. Action on Emergency Circumstance Requests
C. Statement of Persons Present at AB 2449 Teleconference Locations

## III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Approval of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of October 5, 2023

## IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

(Members of the public may address the Committee orally and in writing. To provide Public Comment, you should visit https://LACERA.com/leadership/boardmeetings and complete the request form by selecting whether you will provide oral or written comment from the options located under Options next to the Committee meeting.

If you select oral comment, we will contact you via email with information and instruction as to how to access the meeting as a speaker. You will have up to 3 minutes to address the Committee. Oral comment request will be accepted up to the close of the Public Comment item on the agenda.

If you select written comment, please input your written public comment or documentation on the above link as soon as possible and up to the close of the meeting. Written comment will be made part of the official record of the meeting. If you would like to remain anonymous at the meeting without stating your name, please leave the name field blank in the request form. If you have any questions, you may email PublicComment@lacera.com.)

## V. NON-CONSENT ITEMS

A. Federal Engagement - Visit with Congress

Recommendation as submitted by Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer: That the Committee recommend the Board of Retirement (1) Approve a visit with Congress by Board trustees as designated by the Chair of the Board of Retirement and by staff as designated by the Chief Executive Officer during the week of January 22, 2024, in Washington, D.C., and (2) Approve reimbursement of all travel costs incurred in accordance with LACERA's Trustee Travel Policy. (Memo dated October 24, 2023)
B. H.R. $\mathbf{4 2 6 0}$ - Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ Recommendation as submitted by Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer: That the Committee recommend the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on H.R. 4260, which would enact the "Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023." (Memo dated October 20, 2023)
V. NON-CONSENT ITEMS (Continued)
C. H.R. 5342 - Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023

Recommendation as submitted by Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer: That the Committee recommend the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on H.R. 5342, which would enact the "Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023."
(Memo dated October 20, 2023)

## VI. REPORTS

A. Engagement Report for October 2023

Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer (For Information Only)
B. Staff Activities Report for October 2023

Cassandra Smith, Director, Retiree Healthcare (For Information Only)
C. LACERA Claims Experience

Michael Szeto, Segal Consulting
(Presentation)
D. Federal Legislation

Stephen Murphy, Segal Consulting
(For Discussion Purposes)

## VII. ITEMS FOR STAFF REVIEW

(This item summarizes requests and suggestions by individual trustees during the meeting for consideration by staff. These requests and suggestions do not constitute approval or formal action by the Board, which can only be made separately by motion on an agendized item at a future meeting.)
VIII. ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS
(This item provides an opportunity for trustees to identify items to be included on a future agenda as permitted under the Board's Regulations.)
IX. GOOD OF THE ORDER
(For Information Purposes Only)
X. ADJOURNMENT
*The Board of Retirement has adopted a policy permitting any member of the Board to attend a standing committee meeting open to the public. In the event five or more members of the Board of Retirement (including members appointed to the Committee) are in attendance, the meeting shall constitute a joint meeting of the Committee and the Board of Retirement. Members of the Board of Retirement who are not members of the Committee may attend and participate in a meeting of a Board Committee but may not vote on any matter discussed at the meeting. The only action the Committee may take at the meeting is approval of a recommendation to take further action at a subsequent meeting of the Board.

Any documents subject to public disclosure that relate to an agenda item for an open session of the Committee, that are distributed to members of the Committee less than 72 hours prior to the meeting, will be available for public inspection at the time they are distributed to a majority of the Committee, at LACERA's offices at 300 North Lake Avenue, Suite 820, Pasadena, California during normal business hours from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday and will also be posted on lacera.com at the same time, Board Meetings | LACERA.

Requests for reasonable modification or accommodation of the telephone public access and Public Comments procedures stated in this agenda from individuals with disabilities, consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, may call the Board Offices at (626) 564-6000, Ext. 4401/4402 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday or email PublicComment@lacera.com, but no later than 48 hours prior to the time the meeting is to commence.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE INSURANCE, BENEFITS \&
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE AND BOARD OF RETIREMENT*

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION

 300 N. LAKE AVENUE, SUITE 810, PASADENA, CA 91101$$
\text { 8:30 A.M. - 8:55 A.M., THURSDAY, OCTOBER 5, } 2023
$$

This meeting was conducted by the Insurance, Benefits \& Legislative Committee both in person and by teleconference under California Government Code Section 54953(f)

## COMMITTEE TRUSTEES

PRESENT: Les Robbins, Chair (In-Person)

> Shawn R. Kehoe, Trustee (In-Person)
> Ronald Okum, Trustee (In-Person)

ABSENT: Vivian H. Gray, Vice Chair
JP Harris, Alternate Trustee

## OTHER BOARD OF RETIREMENT TRUSTEES

Alan Bernstein, Trustee (In-Person) (arrived at 8:45 a.m.)
Keith Knox, Trustee (In-Person)
Antonio Sanchez, Trustee (In-Person)
Herman B. Santos, Trustee (In-Person)

## STAFF, ADVISORS AND PARTICIPANTS

Cassandra Smith, Director, Retiree Healthcare
Santos H. Kreimann, Chief Executive Officer
Luis Lugo, Deputy Chief Executive Officer
JJ Popowich, Assistant Executive Officer
Laura Guglielmo, Assistant Executive Officer
Steven P. Rice, Chief Counsel
Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer
Segal Consulting
Michael Szeto, Sr. Actuarial Associate

## I. CALL TO ORDER

This meeting was called to order by Chair Robbins at 8:30 a.m.
II. PROCEDURE FOR TELECONFERENCE MEETING ATTENDANCE UNDER AB 2449, California Government Code Section 54953(f)
A. Just Cause
B. Action on Emergency Circumstance Requests
C. Statement of Persons Present at AB 2449 Teleconference Locations

There were no requests received.

## III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Approval of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of September 6, 2023

Trustee Kehoe made a motion, Trustee Okum seconded, to approve the minutes of the regular meeting of September 6, 2023. The motion passed by the following roll call vote:

Yes: Kehoe, Okum, Robbins
No: None
Absent: Gray, Harris

## IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no requests from the public to speak

## V. NON-CONSENT ITEMS

A. H.R. 82 - Social Security Fairness Act of $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$

Recommendation as submitted by Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer: That the Committee recommend the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on H.R. 82, which would enact the "Social Security Fairness Act of 2023." (Memo dated September 20, 2023)

Trustee Kehoe made a motion, Trustee Robbins seconded, to approve staff recommendation. The motion passed by the following roll call vote:

Yes: Kehoe, Okum, Robbins
No: None

Absent: Gray, Harris

## V. NON-CONSENT ITEMS (Continued)

B. $\quad$ S. 597 - Social Security Fairness Act

Recommendation as submitted by Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer: That the Committee recommend the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on S. 597, which would enact the "Social Security Fairness Act." (Memo dated September 22, 2023)

Trustee Okum made a motion, Trustee Kehoe seconded, to approve staff recommendation. The motion passed by the following roll call vote:

Yes: Kehoe, Okum, Robbins
No: None
Absent: Gray, Harris

## VI. REPORTS

A. Engagement Report for September 2023

Barry W. Lew, Legislative Affairs Officer (For Information Only)

The engagement report was discussed. This item was received and filed.
B. Staff Activities Report for September 2023 Cassandra Smith, Director, Retiree Healthcare (For Information Only)

The staff activities report was discussed. This item was received and filed.

## C. LACERA Claims Experience Debbie Donaldson, Segal Consulting (For Information Only)

The LACERA Claims Experience reports through August were discussed. This item was received and filed.
VI. REPORTS (Continued)
D. Federal Legislation

Stephen Murphy, Segal Consulting (For Discussion Purposes)

Segal Consulting had nothing to report on federal legislation.

## VII. ITEMS FOR STAFF REVIEW

(This item summarizes requests and suggestions by individual trustees during the meeting for consideration by staff. These requests and suggestions do not constitute approval or formal action by the Board, which can only be made separately by motion on an agendized item at a future meeting.)

Trustees Kehoe and Santos requested that the Board be advised should trustee support be beneficial in LACERA's legislative efforts.
VIII. ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS
(This item provides an opportunity for trustees to identify items to be included on a future agenda as permitted under the Board's Regulations.)

There was nothing to report.

## IX. GOOD OF THE ORDER

(For Information Purposes Only)
There was nothing to report.

## X. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 8:55 a.m.
*The Board of Retirement has adopted a policy permitting any member of the Board to attend a standing committee meeting open to the public. In the event five or more members of the Board of Retirement (including members appointed to the Committee) are in attendance, the meeting shall constitute a joint meeting of the Committee and the Board of Retirement. Members of the Board of Retirement who are not members of the Committee may attend and participate in a meeting of a Board Committee but may not vote on any matter discussed at the meeting. The only action the Committee may take at the meeting is approval of a recommendation to take further action at a subsequent meeting of the Board.

October 24, 2023

TO: Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee
Les Robbins, Chair
Vivian H. Gray, Vice Chair
Shawn R. Kehoe
Ronald A. Okum
JP Harris, Alternate
FROM: Barry W. Lewbun Legislative Affairs Officer

FOR: $\quad$ November 1, 2023 Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee Meeting

## SUBJECT: Federal Engagement - Visit with Congress

## RECOMMENDATION

That the Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee recommend that the Board of Retirement:

1. Approve a visit with Congress by Board trustees as designated by the Chair of the Board of Retirement and by staff as designated by the Chief Executive Officer during the week of January 22, 2024, in Washington, D.C.; and
2. Approve reimbursement of all travel costs incurred in accordance with LACERA's Trustee Travel Policy.

## LEGAL AUTHORITY

The Board of Retirement's Policy on Engagement for Public Policy Issues Relating to Plan Administration and Retirement and Health Care Benefits provides for engagement to promote LACERA's presence and visibility with the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of state and federal governments.

## DISCUSSION

The California Delegation consisting of 52 representatives and 2 senators is the largest in Congress. Board members and staff have engaged with members of Congress and their staff on previous visits to Washington, D.C., most recently in January 2023. The visit is a continuing effort of engagement to foster relationships with members of Congress by increasing LACERA's presence and visibility among the members and providing education and information about LACERA's history, organization, and operations.

The National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems (NCPERS) will be holding its annual Legislative Conference on January 22-24, 2024, in Washington D.C. The last day of the conference on January 24 will consist of group meetings with Congress arranged by NCPERS. LACERA's federal legislative advocate, Anthony Roda of Williams
\& Jensen, advises that since most of the Congressional meetings on January 24 will be group meetings (some of which he will be leading), it may not be feasible to have further individual meetings the following day after the conference as LACERA trustees and staff have done in the past.

The visit will also be an opportunity to continue engagement with Congress on the repeal of the Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP) and the Government Pension Offset (GPO), modification of the WEP, and educating Congressional members about LACERA.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR COMMITTEE recommend that the Board of Retirement:

1. Approve a visit with Congress by Board trustees as designated by the Chair of the Board of Retirement and by staff as designated by the Chief Executive Officer during the week of January 22, 2024, in Washington, D.C.; and
2. Approve reimbursement of all travel costs incurred in accordance with LACERA's Trustee Travel Policy.

## Reviewed and Approved:



Steven P. Rice, Chief Counsel

## Attachment

NCPERS 2024 Legislative Conference Preliminary Agenda
cc: Santos H. Kreimann
Luis Lugo
JJ Popowich
Laura Guglielmo
Steven P. Rice
Cassandra Smith
Anthony Roda, Williams \& Jensen
Shane Doucet, Doucet Consulting Solutions

# National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems <br> The Voice for Public Pensions 

Home Blog Contact Us
f in tube Login
Search Q

Home > Education > Legislative Conference > Legislative Conference Education

## Legislative Conference Education

## PRELIMINARY AGENDA

MONDAY, JANUARY 22

7:00 AM - 6:00 PM Registration

5:30 PM - 6:30 PM Joint Communications Summit and Legislative Conference Networking Reception

TUESDAY, JANUARY 23

7:00 AM - 6:00 PM Registration

7:00 AM - 8:00 AM Breakfast

- A Preview of the 2024 Presidential, Congressional, and State Elections
- Congressional Activities Impacting Public Pensions
- U.S. House of Representatives Ways \& Means Committee
- U.S. Senate Finance Committee
- Public Plan Access to the Social Security Administration's Master Death Files
- NCPERS Federal Priorities

12:00 PM - 1:00 PM Networking Lunch

| 1:30 PM - 5:00 PM | General Session II <br> - NCPERS 2023 Policymaker of the Year Award R <br> - State Tax Policies and Revenue Outlook <br> - The Case for Bringing Back Pensions: A Closer <br> - Secure Choice: State-Sponsored Savings Progr <br> - State Proposals Impacting State \& Local Pensio |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5:30 PM - 6:30 PM | Networking Reception |
| WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 24 |  |
| 8:30 AM - 9:30 AM | Policy Day Breakfast \& Pre-Meeting |
| 9:30 AM - 5:00 PM | Policy Day Lounge/Debriefing Room Open |
| 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM | Meetings with Congress (Scheduled by NCPERS) |
| 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM | Policy Day Lunch |
| 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM | Policy Day Closing Happy Hour |

## Event Registration

Annual Conference \& Exhibition (ACE)
Center for Online Learning
Chief Officers Summit

## Legislative Conference

» About the Legislative Conference
» Legislative Conference Education
» Legislative Conference Registration
» Legislative Conference Sponsorship
» Hotel Reservations
NCPERS Accredited Fiduciary (NAF) Program
NCPERS University
Pension Communications Summit
Program for Advanced Trustee Studies (PATS)
Public Pension Funding Forum
Public Safety Conference
Trustee Educational Seminar (TEDS)
Conference Archives
Future Conferences

National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems
1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 850, Washington, DC 20005
C 202-601-2445 202-688-2387
~info@ncpers.org

Copyright © 2023, NCPERS

October 20, 2023

TO: Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee
Les Robbins, Chair
Vivian H. Gray, Vice Chair
Shawn R. Kehoe
Ronald A. Okum
JP Harris, Alternate
FROM: Barry W. Lew bun Legislative Affairs Officer

FOR: $\quad$ November 1, 2023, Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee Meeting
SUBJECT: H.R. 4260—Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023
Author: Neal [D-MA]
Sponsor: Author and 102 co-sponsors
Introduced: June 21, 2023
Status: Referred to House Committee on Ways and Means (06/21/2023)

Staff Recommendation: Support

## RECOMMENDATION

That the Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee recommend that the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on H.R. 4260, which would enact the "Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023."

## LEGISLATIVE POLICY STANDARD

LACERA's legislative policy standard is to support proposals that repeal or modify the Windfall Elimination Provision and Government Pension Offset. (Legislative Policy, page 6.)

## SUMMARY

H.R. 4260 would provide a new proportional formula for new retirees eligible for Social Security benefits starting in 2025 who would be subject to the WEP and provide an additional monthly payment to retirees currently affected by the WEP.

ANALYSIS
Participation in Social Security by the County of Los Angeles
Prior to July 1, 1964. Employees of the County were not covered under Social Security because the Country did not participate in Social Security.

July 1, 1964, through December 31, 1982. The County elected to participate in the Social Security system effective July 1, 1964. General members who were hired before July 1, 1964, were given the option to elect Social Security coverage and pay Social Security taxes retroactive to January 1, 1959, or date of hire, whichever was later. General members who were hired on or after July 1, 1964, were mandatorily covered under Social Security. Safety members were never participants under Social Security.

On and after January 1, 1983. The County withdrew its participation from Social Security effective January 1, 1983. As a result, some LACERA members may not have earned sufficient credits under County employment to be fully insured under Social Security, unless they had other employment that was covered by Social Security.

## Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP)

Social Security benefits are designed to replace a percentage of a worker's pre-retirement earnings. A Social Security benefit is calculated by separating average monthly earnings into three amounts known as "bend points." Each bend point is multiplied by a percentage, and the total of the three amounts is the Social Security benefit.

For example, for a worker who turns 62 in 2023, the first $\$ 1,115$ of average monthly earnings are multiplied by 90 percent, earnings between $\$ 1,115$ and $\$ 6,721$ are multiplied by 32 percent, and the earnings over $\$ 6,721$ are multiplied by 15 percent. The sum of these amounts is the benefit amount, which is decreased or increased depending on whether the worker begins receiving benefits before or after full retirement age.

For workers who qualify for a pension from a government employer that does not participate in Social Security, the percentage that is multiplied against the first $\$ 1,115$ of average monthly earnings is reduced from 90 percent to as low as 40 percent, based on years of substantial earnings. Those who have 30 years or more of substantial earnings do not see a reduction of the 90-percent factor. Note that to protect workers who receive a low government pension, the reduction of the Social Security benefit under the WEP cannot exceed more than one-half of the worker's government pension amount.

## This Bill

H.R. 4260 is a reintroduction of Representative Richard Neal's 2019 bill, H.R. 4540, on which the Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

Beginning 2025. H.R. 4260 would provide a proportional formula to calculate Social Security benefits for workers who become eligible for benefits in 2025. This new formula would prorate the Social Security benefit based on the proportion of covered employment to total covered and noncovered employment.

Social Security's Office of the Chief Actuary ${ }^{1}$ estimates that if the proportional formula in 2018 had been applied to the 1.6 million current beneficiaries affected by the WEP, about 1.1 million ( 69 percent) of beneficiaries would receive a higher average monthly benefit (on average, $\$ 74$ higher from $\$ 474$ per month to $\$ 548$ per month), whereas 0.5 million (31 percent) of beneficiaries would receive a lower average benefit (about $\$ 55$ lower) of $\$ 588$ compared to the average benefit of $\$ 643$ under the current WEP.

Since the proportional formula could reduce benefits in some cases even further than the current WEP formula, the bill would also provide a protection provision that all future beneficiaries receive a benefit based on the higher of the current WEP formula or the proportional formula. Thus, beneficiaries who do not receive a government pension (even though they might have noncovered service) or who have at least 30 years of substantial service would not be subject to any reductions under the proportional formula.

Before 2025. WEP-affected beneficiaries who became eligible for Social Security benefits before 2025 would receive an additional monthly payment that would offset a portion of the WEP reduction. Currently, the maximum dollar amount of monthly WEP reduction in 2023 is $\$ 557.50$ for new beneficiaries with 20 or fewer years of substantial earnings. H.R. 4260 would provide retired workers an amount equal to the WEP reduction up to $\$ 150$ per month. ${ }^{2}$

Other provisions. H.R. 4260 also provides for improvements in the reporting of noncovered earnings in Social Security account statements for estimating the WEP adjustment and for improvements to the collection and sharing of information related to state and local noncovered pensions.

## Pro

- Provides some Social Security benefit increases to WEP-affected beneficiaries.
- Uses a more precise formula than the WEP to calculate a Social Security benefit replacement rate for future retirees based on covered employment.
- Does not subject beneficiaries who do not receive a government pension or who have 30 years of substantial service to any reduction in benefits.


## Con

[^0]- Does not repeal the WEP for current retirees.
- Additional monthly payment of $\$ 150$ for current retirees offsets about one-quarter of the current maximum monthly WEP reduction of $\$ 557.50$.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMITTEE recommend that the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on H.R. 4260, which would enact the "Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023."

## Reviewed and Approved:

Striven B Ri

Steven P. Rice, Chief Counsel

## Attachments

Attachment 1—Board Positions Adopted on Related Legislation
Attachment 2—Support and Opposition
H.R. 4260 (Neal) as introduced on June 21, 2023

Windfall Elimination Provision: Proposals for a New Proportional Formula

| cc: | Santos H. Kreimann |
| :--- | :--- |
| Luis Lugo |  |
| JJ Popowich |  |
|  | Laura Guglielmo |
|  | Steven P. Rice |
|  | Anthony J. Roca, Williams \& Jensen |

H.R. 4260

Attachment 1—Board Positions Adopted on Related Legislation
Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee
October 20, 2023
Page 1

## BOARD POSITIONS ADOPTED ON RELATED LEGISLATION

H.R. 82 (2021, reported by the Committee on Ways and Means) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 1302 (2021, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

AJR 9 (Resolution Chapter 78, Statutes of 2021) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 4540 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to provide an equitable Social Security formula for individuals with noncovered employment and to provide relief for individuals currently affected by the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 3934 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to replace the Windfall Elimination Provision with a formula equalizing benefits for certain individuals with noncovered employment. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

SJR 3 (Resolution Chapter 129, Statutes of 2019) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 141 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 521 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 1205 (2017, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 4260

Attachment 1—Board Positions Adopted on Related Legislation
Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee
October 20, 2023
Page 2
S. 915 (2017, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

SJR 1 (Resolution Chapter 92, Statutes of 2015) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 711 (2015, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to replace the Windfall Elimination Provision with a new formula for the treatment of noncovered earnings in determining Social Security benefits for individuals who become eligible for benefits after 2016. It would have established a second formula to modify the Windfall Elimination Provision for current beneficiaries. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Watch" position.
H.R. 973 (2015, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 1651 (2015, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 1332 (2011, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

AJR 10 (Resolution Chapter 103, Statutes of 2009) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 484 (2009, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

AJR 5 (Resolution Chapter 116, Statutes of 2007) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 4260
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## SUPPORT

## National Organizations

Alliance for Retired Americans (ARA)
American Federation of Teachers (AFT)
Fraternal Order of Police (FOP)
International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF)
International Union of Police Associations (IUPA)
National Active and Retired Federal Employees Association (NARFE)
National Air Traffic Controllers Association (NATCA)
National Association of Government Employees (NAGE)
National Association of Police Organizations (NAPO)
National Association of Retired ASCS/FSA Office Employees (RASCOE)
National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare (NCPSSM)
National Conference of State Social Security Administrators (NCSSSA)
National Education Association (NEA)
National Sheriffs' Association (NSA)
National Treasury Employees Union (NTEU)
Public Retirees Alliance
Service Employees International Union (SEIU)
Social Security Works
United Postmasters and Managers of America (UPMA)

## State and Local Organizations

AFT-Massachusetts
Association of Texas Professional Educators (ATPE)
Brentwood Police Officers 'Association
California Retired County Employees Association (CRCEA)
California Teachers Association
California Teachers Association/National Education Association—Retired
Colorado Education Association
Foothill-De Anza Community College District Police Officers Association
Illinois Education Association
Illinois Federation of Teachers, Kentucky Education Association
Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association (LACERA)
Louisiana Association of Chiefs of Police (LACP)
Louisiana Federation of Teachers (LFT)
Louisiana Sheriffs' Association (LSA)
Maine Association of Retirees
Maine Education Association
Mass Retirees
Massachusetts Coalition of Police (Mass COP)
Massachusetts Correction Officers Federated Union (MCOFU)
H.R. 4260

Attachment 2—Support and Opposition
Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee
October 20, 2023
Page 2

Massachusetts Organization of State Engineers and Scientists (MOSES)
Massachusetts Police Association (MPA)
Massachusetts Teachers Association
National Education Association-Alaska
National Education Association—Rhode Island
New England Police Benevolent Association (NEPBA)
Oakland Police Officers' Association (OPOA)
Ohio Education Association
Ohio Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS)
Police Officers Research Association of California (PORAC)
Professional Fire Fighters of Massachusetts (PFFM)
Retired Educators Association of Massachusetts (REAM)
Richmond Police Officers Association
Texas Retired Teachers Association (TRTA)
Texas State Teachers Association
Vallejo Police Officers' Association
Western States Sheriffs' Association (WSSA)

## OPPOSITION

Unknown

## 118тн CONGRESS 1 st Session <br> H. R. 4260

To amend title II of the Social Security Act to provide an equitable Social Security formula for individuals with noncovered employment and to provide relief for individuals currently affected by the Windfall Elimination Provision.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 21, 2023
Mr. Neal (for himself, Mr. Allred, Mr. Auchincloss, Ms. Barragán, Mrs. Beatty, Mr. Beyer, Mr. Blumenauer, Ms. Blunt Rochester, Ms. Bonamici, Mr. Boyle of Pennsylvania, Ms. Brownley, Mr. Carson, Ms. Clarke of New York, Ms. Chu, Mr. Connolly, Mr. Courtney, Mr. Cuellar, Mr. Davis of Illinois, Ms. Dean of Pennsylvania, Ms. DelBene, Mr. Doggett, Mr. Evans, Mr. Garamendi, Mr. García of Illinois, Ms. Garcla of Texas, Mr. Golden of Maine, Mr. Gonez, Mr. Grijalva, Mrs. Hayes, Mr. Higgins of New York, Mr. Horsford, Mr. Huffman, Mr. Johnson of Georgia, Ms. Kaptur, Mr. Khanna, Mr. Keating, Ms. Kelly of Illinois, Mr. Kildee, Mr. Kilmer, Mr. Kim of New Jersey, Mr. Krishnamoorthi, Mr. Larson of Connecticut, Ms. Lee of California, Ms. Leger Fernandez, Ms. Lofgren, Mr. Lynch, Ms. Matsui, Mrs. McBath, Ms. McCollum, Mr. McGovern, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Mfune, Ms. Moore of Wisconsin, Mr. Moulton, Mrs. Napolitano, Mr. Neguse, Ms. Norton, Mr. Pallone, Mr. Panetta, Mr. Pascrell, Mr. Payne, Ms. Pettersen, Mr. Phillips, Ms. Pingree, Mr. Pocan, Ms. Pressley, Ms. Ross, Mr. Ruiz, Mr. Ruppersberger, Mr. Sarbanes, Ms. Sánchez, Ms. Schakowsky, Mr. Schneider, Ms. Sewell, Mr. Sherman, Ms. Sherrill, Ms. Slotkin, Mr. Smith of Washington, Ms. Strickland, Mr. Takano, Mr. Thompson of California, Ms. Titus, Ms. Tlaib, Ms. Tokuda, Mr. Tonko, Mrs. Trahan, Ms. Underwood, Mr. Vargas, Mr. Veasey, Ms. Velázquez, Mrs. Watson Coleman, Ms. Wexton, Ms. Williams of Georgia, Ms. Wilson of Florida, and Ms. Plaskett) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

## A BILL

To an equitable Social Security formula for individuals with noncovered employment and to provide relief for individuals currently affected by the Windfall Elimination Provision.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023".

SEC. 2. Alternative formula equalizing benefits FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WITH NONCOVERED EMPLOYMENT.
(a) In General.-Section 215(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 415(a)) is amended by inserting after paragraph (7) the following:
"(8)(A) In the case of an individual whose primary insurance amount would be computed under paragraph (1) of this subsection-
"(i) who first becomes eligible for an old-age or disability insurance benefit after 2024 and who subsequently becomes entitled to such benefit;
"(ii) who has earnings derived from noncovered service performed in a year after 1977 and is enti-
tled to a monthly periodic payment based on such earnings; and
"(iii) who has less than 30 years of coverage (as defined for purposes of paragraph (7)(D)), the primary insurance amount of such individual shall be the higher of the amount computed or recomputed under paragraph (7) without regard to this paragraph or the amount computed or recomputed under this paragraph.
"(B) The primary insurance amount of an individual described in subparagraph (A), as computed or recomputed under this paragraph, shall be the product derived by multiplying-
"(i) the individual's primary insurance amount, as determined under paragraph (1) of this subsection and subparagraph (C) of this paragraph, by
"(ii) a fraction-
"(I) the numerator of which is the individual's average indexed monthly earnings (determined without regard to subparagraph (C)), and
"(II) the denominator of which is an amount equal to the individual's average indexed monthly earnings (as determined under subparagraph (C)),
rounded, if not a multiple of $\$ 0.10$, to the next lower multiple of $\$ 0.10$.
"(C)(i) For purposes of determining an individual's primary insurance amount and average indexed monthly earnings pursuant to clauses (i) and (ii)(II) of subparagraph (B), the individual's average indexed monthly earnings shall be determined by treating all recorded noncovered earnings (as defined in clause (ii)(I)) derived by the individual from noncovered service performed in each year after 1977 as 'wages' (as defined in section 209 for purposes of this title), which shall be treated as included in the individual's adjusted total covered earnings (as defined in clause (ii)(II)) for such calendar year together with amounts consisting of 'wages' (as so defined without regard to this subparagraph) paid during such calendar year and self-employment income (as defined in section 211(b)) for taxable years ending with or during such calendar year.
"(ii) For purposes of this subparagraph:
"(I) The term 'recorded noncovered earnings' means earnings derived from noncovered service (other than noncovered service as a member of a uniformed service (as defined in section 210(m))) for which satisfactory evidence is determined by the

Commissioner to be available in the records of the Commissioner.
"(II) The term 'adjusted total covered earnings' means, in connection with an individual for any calendar year, the sum of the wages paid to the individual during such calendar year (as adjusted under subsection (b)(3)) plus the self-employment income derived by the individual during any taxable year ending with or during such calendar year (as adjusted under subsection (b)(3)).
"(iii) The Commissioner of Social Security shall provide by regulation or other public guidance for methods for determining whether satisfactory evidence is available in the records of the Commissioner for earnings for noncovered service (other than noncovered service as a member of a uniformed service (as defined in section 210(m))) to be treated as recorded noncovered earnings. Such methods shall provide for reliance on earnings information provided to the Commissioner by employers and which, as determined by the Commissioner, constitute a reasonable basis for treatment of earnings for noncovered service as recorded noncovered earnings. In making determinations under this clause, the Commissioner shall also take into account any documentary or other evidence of earnings derived from noncovered service by an individual which is
provided by the individual to the Commissioner and which the Commissioner considers appropriate as a reasonable basis for treatment of such earnings as recorded noncovered earnings.
"(iv) In the case of any individual who, at the time of application for old-age or disability insurance benefits under this title, has recorded noncovered earnings in an amount the Commissioner of Social Security determines may entitle the individual to a monthly periodic payment based on such earnings (but whose application does not report such a monthly periodic payment), the Commissioner shall reconfirm with the individual whether such a monthly periodic payment is being paid in order to ensure the proper application of paragraph (7) and this paragraph.
"(D) Upon the death of an individual whose primary insurance amount is computed or recomputed under this paragraph, such primary insurance amount shall be computed or recomputed under paragraph (1) of this subsection.
"(E) In the case of any individual whose primary insurance amount would be computed under this paragraph who first becomes entitled after 1985 to a monthly periodic payment made by a foreign employer or foreign country that is based in whole or in part upon noncovered serv-
ice, the primary insurance amount of such individual shall be computed or recomputed under paragraph (7) or paragraph (1), as applicable, for months beginning with the first month of the individual's initial entitlement to such monthly periodic payment.".
(b) Conforming Amendments.-Section 215(a)(7)(A) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 415(a)(7)(A)) is amended-
(1) by striking "hereafter in this paragraph and in subsection (d)(3)" and inserting "in this paragraph, paragraph (8), and subsection (d)(3)"; and
(2) by striking "shall be computed or recomputed" and inserting "shall, subject to paragraph (8), be computed or recomputed".
(c) Effective Date.-The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to monthly insurance benefits payable on or after January 1, 2025.

SEC. 3. ADDITIONAL MONTHLY PAYMENT FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WHOSE BENEFIT AMOUNT IS REDUCED BY THE WINDFALL ELIMINATION PROVISION.
(a) In General.-Section 215(a) of such Act (42 U.S.C. $415(\mathrm{a})$ ), as amended by section $2(\mathrm{a})$, is further amended by adding at the end the following:
"(9)(A) For any month beginning at least 270 days after the date of the enactment of the Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023, the Commissioner of Social Security shall, subject to subparagraphs (D) and (E), make an additional monthly payment to each individual who is an eligible individual for such month, in an amount equal to the amount determined under subparagraph (C) with respect to such eligible individual.
"(B) For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'eligible individual' for a month means an individual (other than an individual described in paragraph (8)(E)) who-
"(i) first becomes eligible for an old-age or disability insurance benefit under this title before 2025; and
"(ii) is entitled to an old-age or disability insurance benefit under this title for such month based on a primary insurance amount that was computed or recomputed under paragraph (7) (and not subsequently recomputed under any other paragraph of this subsection).

For purposes of clause (i), an individual shall be deemed eligible for a benefit for a month if, upon filing application therefor in such month, the individual would be entitled to such benefit for such month. For purposes of clause (ii), an individual shall be deemed entitled to a benefit
based on a primary insurance amount that was computed or recomputed under paragraph (7) if such benefit would be based on such a primary insurance amount but for the application of paragraph (1)(C).
"(C)(i) The amount determined under this paragraph with respect to an eligible individual is $\$ 150$, except that in any case in which the amount by which the primary insurance amount of such eligible individual that would be computed for the individual's initial computation month under this subsection without regard to paragraph (7) exceeds the primary insurance amount of such eligible individual computed for such month under this subsection (taking paragraph (7) into account) is less than $\$ 150$, the amount determined under this paragraph shall equal the amount of such excess.
"(ii) For purposes of this subparagraph, the term 'initial computation month' means, with respect to an individual, the later of-
"(I) the 1st month beginning at least 270 days after the date of the enactment of the Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023; or
"(II) the 1st month for which the individual's primary insurance amount is computed or recomputed under paragraph (7).
"(D) In any case in which this title provides that no monthly benefit under section 202 or 223 shall be paid to an individual for a month, no additional monthly payment shall be paid to the individual for such month. This subparagraph shall not apply in the case of an individual whose monthly benefit under section 202 or 223 is reduced, regardless of the amount of the reduction, based on-
"(i) the individual's receipt of other income or benefits for such month; or
"(ii) the adjustment or recovery of an overpayment under section 204.
"(E) Except for purposes of adjustment or recovery of an overpayment under section 204, an additional monthly payment under this paragraph shall not be subject to any reduction or deduction under this title.
"(F) Whenever benefit amounts under this title are increased by any percentage effective with any month as a result of a determination made under subsection (i), each additional monthly payment amount payable to an eligible individual under this paragraph shall be increased by the same percentage for months beginning with such month.
"(G) The amount of any additional monthly payment under this paragraph shall be disregarded for purposes of
determining the eligibility or benefit amount of an individual for supplemental security income benefits payable under title XVI of the Social Security Act, but only if such individual-
"(i) is eligible for a cash benefit payable under section 1611, section 1619(a), or section 1631(a)(7) for the 1st month beginning at least 270 days after the date of the enactment of the Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023;
"(ii) is an individual described in section 1619(b) for such month; or
"(iii) is eligible for a State supplementary payment for such month of the type referred to in section 1616(a) (or payments of the type described in section 212(a) of Public Law 93-66) which are paid by the Commissioner under an agreement referred to in section 1616(a) (or section 212(a) of Public Law 93-66).".
(b) Effective Date.-The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to monthly insurance benefits payable for months beginning at least 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 4. IMPROVEMENT IN SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT STATEMENTS FOR PUBLIC SERVANTS.
(a) In General.-Section 1143(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320b-13(a)) is amended(1) in paragraph (2)-
(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) through (E) as subparagraphs (C) through (F);
(B) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:
"(B) the amount of earnings derived by the eligible individual from service performed after 1977 which did not constitute employment (as defined in section 210), not including service as a member of a uniformed service (as defined in section $210(\mathrm{~m})$ ), as shown by the records of the Commissioner at the date of the request;"; and
(C) in subparagraph (E) (as redesignated by subparagraph (A)), by inserting "(in the case of an eligible individual described in paragraph (3)(C)(ii), calculated using a primary insurance amount estimated pursuant to paragraph (8) of section 215(a) and including a notation indicating the use of such method of calculation)" after "account"; and
(2) in paragraph (3)(C)(ii)—
(A) by striking "wages or self-employment income" and inserting "wages, self-employment income, and earnings derived from noncovered service (within the meaning of section 215(a)(7))"; and
(B) by striking "of noncovered employment" and inserting "that the individual's primary insurance amount will be computed under paragraph (7) or (8) of section 215(a)".
(b) Conforming Amendments.-Section 1143 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320b-13) is amend-ed-
(1) in subsection (a)(2)(F) (as redesignated by subsection (a)(1)(A) of this section), by striking "sections 202(k)(5) and 215(a)(7)" and all that follows through "auxiliary benefits" and inserting "sections 202(k)(5), 215(a)(7), and 215(a)(8)"; and
(2) in subsection (d)(1)-
(A) by striking "maximum"; and
(B) by striking "section 215(a)(7)" and inserting "sections 215(a)(7) and 215(a)(8)".
(c) Effective Date.-The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to Social Security account statements issued on or after January 1, 2025.

## SEC. 5. STUDY ON AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION RELAT- <br> ING TO RETIREMENT PLANS.

(a) In General.-The Comptroller General shall conduct a study to determine the extent to which State and local governments (or their designees) that maintain retirement plans for their employees possess or otherwise have access to information sufficient to determine what amount of a participant's benefits under such plan are based on noncovered service (within the meaning of section $215(\mathrm{a})(7)$ of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 415(a)(7))).
(b) Report.-Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit a report to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate on the results of the study described in subsection (a).

SEC. 6. HOLD HARMLESS FOR THE SOCIAL SECURITY TRUST FUNDS.

There are appropriated, out of any moneys in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to each of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund for each fiscal year such amounts as the chief actuary of the Social Security Administration shall certify are necessary to place each such Trust Fund in the same position at the end

1 of such fiscal year as it would have been in if the amend2 ments made by this Act had not been enacted.

# The Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP) in Social Security: Proposals for a New Proportional Formula 

## Background

Social Security is a work-based federal insurance program that provides monthly cash benefits to workers and their eligible family members in the event of a worker's retirement, disability, or death. Although participation in Social Security is compulsory for most workers, about 6\% of workers in paid employment or self-employment are not covered by Social Security (i.e., earnings are not taxable or creditable for program purposes).

The regular Social Security benefit formula is progressive, replacing a greater share of career-average earnings for low-paid workers than for high-paid workers. Careeraverage earnings in Social Security are calculated as average indexed monthly earnings (AIME), which is the monthly average of the highest 35 years of covered earnings after indexing for wage growth. If a person has earnings not covered by Social Security, those noncovered earnings are shown as zeros in their Social Security earnings records. As a result, the regular formula cannot distinguish workers who have low career-average earnings because they worked for many years at low earnings in covered employment from workers who appear to have low career-average earnings because they worked for many years in jobs not covered by Social Security. Therefore, based on the regular formula, a worker who worked in both covered and noncovered employment might receive a higher replacement rate of career-average earnings than a worker with the same earnings who spent an entire career in covered employment (see Table 1, column [1]). The windfall elimination provision (WEP) is designed to remove such an unintended advantage, or windfall, for certain beneficiaries with earnings not covered by Social Security.

## The Current WEP Formula

The regular Social Security benefit formula applies three factors- $90 \%, 32 \%$, and $15 \%$-to three different brackets of a worker's AIME. The result is the primary insurance amount (PIA), which is the worker's basic monthly benefit at the full retirement age before any adjustments. Under current law, the WEP reduction is based on years of coverage (YOCs). The amount of substantial covered earnings needed for a YOC is $\$ 29,700$ in 2023. For people with 20 or fewer YOCs, the WEP reduces the first factor from $90 \%$ to $40 \%$. For each year of substantial covered earnings in excess of 20, the first factor increases by $5 \%$. The WEP factor reaches $90 \%$ for those with 30 or more YOCs, and at that point it is phased out. In addition, the WEP reduction cannot exceed one-half of the pension benefit based on the worker's noncovered employment, and it does not apply to those who do not receive such a pension.

## The Proportional Formula

Shortly before the WEP was enacted in 1983 (P.L. 98-21), the bipartisan National Commission on Social Security Reform (the Greenspan Commission) described two different methods of eliminating the windfall benefits: (1) the current-law method of adjusting the first replacement factor $(90 \%)$ as discussed above; and (2) a proportional formula. The proportional formula for WEP purposes would apply the regular Social Security benefit formula to all past earnings from both covered and noncovered employment. The resulting benefit would then be multiplied by the ratio of career-average earnings (AIME) from covered employment only to career-average earnings (AIME) from both covered and noncovered employment.

The proportional formula better reflects the Greenspan Commission's recommendation for people with some earnings from noncovered employment to receive the same replacement rate as those workers who spent their entire careers in covered employment (see Table 1, column [3]), whereas the current-law WEP can only approximately achieve that goal (see Table 1, column [2]). However, in 1983, the Social Security Administration (SSA) lacked the data on noncovered earnings needed to make the benefit adjustment under the proportional formula, so Congress adopted the current WEP formula instead. As of 2017, SSA has 35 years of data on earnings from both covered and noncovered employment. This data's availability means that the proportional formula is now an option for Congress to consider.

Table I. Illustrative Examples: Replacement Rates (Benefits as a Share of AIME) Under Alternative Formulas

|  | Regular <br> Formula <br> (w/o WEP) <br> (1) | Current- <br> Law <br> WEP <br> (2) | Propor- <br> tional <br> Formula <br> (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Covered: 35 years; <br> Covered: 15 years; |  | years | $72 \%$ |

Source: Congressional Research Service.
Notes: The worker is assumed to earn $\$ 45,000$ (indexed by average wage growth) per year and becomes eligible for benefits in 2023.

## Comparing the Current WEP and the Proportional Formula

If the proportional formula had applied to current beneficiaries in 2018, SSA's Office of the Chief Actuary
(OCACT) estimates that about 1.1 million beneficiaries affected by the current WEP (or 69\%) would have received a higher benefit and about 0.5 million (or $31 \%$ ) would have received a lower benefit. In addition, 13.5 million beneficiaries with some noncovered earnings who were not affected by the current WEP would have received a lower benefit. Therefore, if the proportional formula were applied to new beneficiaries, it would generate program savings.

Below are two examples in which beneficiaries affected by the current WEP would receive lower benefits under the proportional formula:

- Beneficiaries with YOCs near 30. Certain beneficiaries with YOCs near 30 would have a relatively high replacement factor (e.g., $85 \%$ for 29 YOCs ) under current law. Therefore, those beneficiaries' benefit reduction under the current WEP might be smaller than under the proportional formula.
- Beneficiaries with relatively high career-average earnings. Since the current WEP reduction is limited to the first bracket in the PIA formula, it might underadjust the benefit for some high earners with noncovered employment, resulting in a smaller benefit reduction under current law than under the proportional formula.

Current beneficiaries who had noncovered earnings and are exempt from the current-law WEP but would receive a lower benefit using the proportional formula might include (1) beneficiaries with 30 or more years of substantial covered earning; (2) beneficiaries who do not receive a pension based on noncovered work; and (3) beneficiaries who fit both categories.

## Legislation in $118^{\text {th }}$ Congress

Two bills introduced in 2023 would replace the current-law WEP approach with a proportional formula for certain individuals who would become eligible for Social Security benefits in 2025 or later: (1) H.R. 4260 (the Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023), introduced by Representative Richard E. Neal; and (2) H.R. 5342 (the Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023), introduced by Representative Jodey Arrington.

## No Benefit Cuts Relative to Current Law

Because the proportional formula could reduce Social Security benefits for some future beneficiaries with noncovered employment compared to current law, both bills provide a protection provision, wherein individuals would receive a benefit based on the higher of the current WEP formula or the proportional formula. H.R. 4260 would apply the protection provision to all future beneficiaries, and as with current law, the proportional formula would not apply to workers who do not receive a noncovered pension or who have 30 or more years of substantial covered earnings. In contrast, H.R. 5342 would apply the protection provision during the transitional period for new beneficiaries who become eligible for benefits during 2025 through 2067. For those who become eligible in 2068 and later, benefits would be based solely on the proportional formula.

## Additional Monthly Payments to Current Beneficiaries

As discussed earlier, the proportional formula could provide a higher benefit to certain beneficiaries compared to current law, so both bills would provide additional monthly payments to current WEP-affected beneficiaries who are first eligible for benefits before 2025. H.R. 4260 would provide worker beneficiaries (but not dependents) an additional monthly payment equal to the lesser of $\$ 150$ or the current WEP reduction amount. H.R. 5342 would provide an additional monthly payment of $\$ 100$ to workers and $\$ 50$ to dependents. The additional monthly payments would begin nine months after enactment of the respective bill, would increase with cost-of-living adjustments, and would be exempt from most benefit adjustments under Social Security. The additional monthly payment under H.R. 4260 would be excluded in determining eligibility and the benefit amount under the Supplemental Security Income program.

## Cost Estimates and Funding Rules

The OCACT estimates that H.R. 4260 would cost about $\$ 30.1$ billion from 2023 through 2032, net of additional revenue from income taxation, including $\$ 1.5$ billion for the new proportional formula and $\$ 28.7$ billion for the additional monthly payments. Over the 75-year projection period, the present value of the overall net cost would be about $\$ 110.1$ billion. The bill would provide transfers from the General Fund of the Treasury to the Social Security trust funds in amounts needed to fully offset the bill's costs, so it would have no effect on Social Security's long-term financial outlook.

The OCACT estimates that H.R. 5342 would cost about $\$ 23.9$ billion from 2023 through 2032, net of additional revenue from income taxation, including $\$ 1.5$ billion for the new proportional formula and $\$ 22.4$ billion for the additional monthly payments. Over the 75 -year projection period, future savings from the proportional formula would offset the cost of the additional monthly payments and the protection provision during the transitional period, so the bill would have no significant effect on Social Security's long-term financial outlook.

## Other Provisions

The annual Social Security statements that SSA makes available to all eligible workers provide benefit estimates based only on covered employment, with no estimates of the WEP adjustment. Because of this limitation, beneficiaries have argued that they were not given sufficient notice of how much their benefits would be reduced by the WEP. To address this issue, both bills would require SSA to show noncovered as well as covered earnings records on the statements. Moreover, H.R. 4260 would require the statements to include projected benefits using the proportional formula for those workers who would likely be subject to the WEP.
In addition, both bills would require studies on ways to facilitate data exchanges between SSA and state and local governments for purposes of improving WEP administration.

Zhe Li, Analyst in Social Policy
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Staff Recommendation: Support

## RECOMMENDATION

That the Insurance, Benefits and Legislative Committee recommend that the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on H.R. 5342, which would enact the "Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023."

## LEGISLATIVE POLICY STANDARD

LACERA's legislative policy standard is to support proposals that repeal or modify the Windfall Elimination Provision and Government Pension Offset. (Legislative Policy, page 6.)

## SUMMARY

H.R. 5342 would provide a new proportional formula for new retirees eligible for Social Security benefits starting in 2025 who would be subject to the WEP, make the proportional formula permanent after 2067, and provide an additional monthly payment to retirees currently affected by the WEP.

## ANALYSIS

Participation in Social Security by the County of Los Angeles
Prior to July 1, 1964. Employees of the County were not covered under Social Security because the County did not participate in Social Security.

July 1, 1964, through December 31, 1982. The County elected to participate in the Social Security system effective July 1, 1964. General members who were hired before July 1, 1964, were given the option to elect Social Security coverage and pay Social Security taxes retroactive to January 1, 1959, or date of hire, whichever was later. General members who were hired on or after July 1, 1964, were mandatorily covered under Social Security. Safety members were never participants under Social Security.

On and after January 1, 1983. The County withdrew its participation from Social Security effective January 1, 1983. As a result, some LACERA members may not have earned sufficient credits under County employment to be fully insured under Social Security, unless they had other employment that was covered by Social Security.

## Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP)

Social Security benefits are designed to replace a percentage of a worker's pre-retirement earnings. A Social Security benefit is calculated by separating average monthly earnings into three amounts known as "bend points." Each bend point is multiplied by a percentage, and the total of the three amounts is the Social Security benefit.

For example, for a worker who turns 62 in 2023, the first $\$ 1,115$ of average monthly earnings are multiplied by 90 percent, earnings between $\$ 1,115$ and $\$ 6,721$ are multiplied by 32 percent, and the earnings over $\$ 6,721$ are multiplied by 15 percent. The sum of these amounts is the benefit amount, which is decreased or increased depending on whether the worker begins receiving benefits before or after full retirement age.

For workers who qualify for a pension from a government employer that does not participate in Social Security, the percentage that is multiplied against the first $\$ 1,115$ of average monthly earnings is reduced from 90 percent to as low as 40 percent, based on years of substantial earnings. Those who have 30 years or more of substantial earnings do not see a reduction of the 90-percent factor. Note that to protect workers who receive a low government pension, the reduction of the Social Security benefit under the WEP cannot exceed more than one-half of the worker's government pension amount.

## This Bill

H.R. 5342 is a reintroduction of Representative Kevin Brady's 2019 bill, H.R. 3934, on which the Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position. Representative Arrington was an original cosponsor of H.R. 3934.

Beginning 2025. H.R. 5342 would provide a proportional formula to calculate Social Security benefits for workers who become eligible for benefits in 2025. This new formula would prorate the Social Security benefit based on the proportion of covered employment to total covered and noncovered employment.

Social Security's Office of the Chief Actuary ${ }^{1}$ estimates that if the proportional formula in 2018 had been applied to the 1.6 million current beneficiaries affected by the WEP, about 1.1 million ( 69 percent) of beneficiaries would receive a higher average monthly benefit (on average, $\$ 74$ higher from $\$ 474$ per month to $\$ 548$ per month), whereas 0.5 million (31 percent) of beneficiaries would receive a lower average benefit (about $\$ 55$ lower) of $\$ 588$ compared to the average benefit of $\$ 643$ under the current WEP.

Since the proportional formula could reduce benefits in some cases even further than the current WEP formula, the bill would also provide a protection provision that all future beneficiaries receive a benefit based on the higher of the current WEP formula or the proportional formula. Thus, beneficiaries who do not receive a government pension (even though they might have noncovered service) or who have at least 30 years of substantial service would not be subject to any reductions under the proportional formula. However, this protection provision would be applicable to beneficiaries from 2025 through 2067. After 2067, benefits would be based only on the proportional formula.

Before 2025. WEP-affected beneficiaries who became eligible for Social Security benefits before 2025 would receive an additional monthly payment that would offset a portion of the WEP reduction. Currently, the maximum dollar amount of monthly WEP reduction in 2023 is $\$ 557.50$ for new beneficiaries with 20 or fewer years of substantial earnings. H.R. 5342 would provide retired workers an amount equal to $\$ 100$ per month and an additional $\$ 50$ per month to an eligible dependent. ${ }^{2}$

Other provisions. H.R. 5342 also provides for improvements in the reporting of noncovered earnings in Social Security account statements for estimating the WEP adjustment and for improvements to the collection and sharing of information related to state and local noncovered pensions.

## Pro

- Provides some Social Security benefit increases to WEP-affected beneficiaries.
- Uses a more precise formula than the WEP to calculate a Social Security benefit replacement rate for future retirees based on covered employment.
- Does not subject beneficiaries who do not receive a government pension or who have 30 years of substantial service to any reduction in benefits.

[^1]- Provides an additional $\$ 50$ per month for an eligible dependent of a retiree who is affected by the WEP.


## Con

- Does not repeal the WEP for current retirees.
- Protection provision expires after 2067.
- Additional monthly payment of $\$ 100$ for current retirees offsets less than onequarter of the current maximum monthly WEP reduction of \$557.50.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMITTEE recommend that the Board of Retirement adopt a "Support" position on H.R. 5342, which would enact the "Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023."

## Reviewed and Approved:



Steven P. Rice, Chief Counsel
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## BOARD POSITIONS ADOPTED ON RELATED LEGISLATION

H.R. 82 (2021, reported by the Committee on Ways and Means) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 1302 (2021, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

AJR 9 (Resolution Chapter 78, Statutes of 2021) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 4540 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to provide an equitable Social Security formula for individuals with noncovered employment and to provide relief for individuals currently affected by the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 3934 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to replace the Windfall Elimination Provision with a formula equalizing benefits for certain individuals with noncovered employment. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

SJR 3 (Resolution Chapter 129, Statutes of 2019) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 141 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 521 (2019, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 1205 (2017, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
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S. 915 (2017, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

SJR 1 (Resolution Chapter 92, Statutes of 2015) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 711 (2015, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to replace the Windfall Elimination Provision with a new formula for the treatment of noncovered earnings in determining Social Security benefits for individuals who become eligible for benefits after 2016. It would have established a second formula to modify the Windfall Elimination Provision for current beneficiaries. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Watch" position.
H.R. 973 (2015, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 1651 (2015, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
H.R. 1332 (2011, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

AJR 10 (Resolution Chapter 103, Statutes of 2009) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
S. 484 (2009, held in committee) would have amended the Social Security Act to repeal the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.

AJR 5 (Resolution Chapter 116, Statutes of 2007) requested the President and the Congress of the United States to pass legislation repealing the Government Pension Offset and Windfall Elimination Provision from the Social Security Act. The Board of Retirement adopted a "Support" position.
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118th CONGRESS 1 st Session

## H. R. 5342

To amend title II of the Social Security Act to replace the windfall elimination provision with a formula equalizing benefits for certain individuals with noncovered employment, and for other purposes.

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

September 5, 2023
Mr. Arrington (for himself, Mr. Vicente Gonzalez of Texas, Ms. Granger, Ms. Van Duyne, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Van Drew, Mr. Latta, Mr. Weber of Texas, Mr. Moran, Mr. Pfluger, Mr. Murphy, Mr. Carter of Georgia, Mr. Crenshaw, Mr. Good of Virginia, Mr. Bacon, Mr. Smucker, Mr. Tony Gonzales of Texas, Mr. Roy, and Mr. Gosar) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

## A BILL

To amend title II of the Social Security Act to replace the windfall elimination provision with a formula equalizing benefits for certain individuals with noncovered employment, and for other purposes.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-

5 Public Servants Act of 2023".

SEC. 2. REPLACEMENT OF THE WINDFALL ELIMINATION
PROVISION WITH A FORMULA EQUALIZING BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WITH NONCOVERED EMPLOYMENT.
(a) In General.-Section 215(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. $415(\mathrm{a})$ ) is amended by inserting after paragraph (7) the following:
"(8)(A) In the case of an individual whose primary insurance amount would be computed under paragraph (1) of this subsection-
"(i) who first becomes eligible for an old-age or disability insurance benefit after 2067,
"(ii) who subsequently becomes entitled to such benefit, and
"(iii) who has earnings derived from noncovered service performed in a year after 1977,
the primary insurance amount of such individual shall be the amount computed or recomputed under this paragraph.
"(B) The primary insurance amount of an individual described in subparagraph (A), as computed or recomputed under this paragraph, shall be the product derived by multiplying-
"(i) the individual's primary insurance amount, as determined under paragraph (1) of this subsection and subparagraph (C) of this paragraph, by
"(ii) a fraction-
"(I) the numerator of which is the individual's average indexed monthly earnings (determined without regard to subparagraph (C)), and
"(II) the denominator of which is an amount equal to the individual's average indexed monthly earnings (as determined under subparagraph (C)),
rounded, if not a multiple of $\$ 0.10$, to the next lower multiple of $\$ 0.10$.
"(C)(i) For purposes of determining an individual's primary insurance amount pursuant to clauses (i) and (ii)(II) of subparagraph (B), the individual's average indexed monthly earnings shall be determined by treating all recorded noncovered earnings (as defined in clause (ii)(I)) derived by the individual from noncovered service performed in each year after 1977 as 'wages' (as defined in section 209 for purposes of this title), which shall be treated as included in the individual's adjusted total covered earnings (as defined in clause (ii)(II)) for such calendar year together with amounts consisting of 'wages' (as so defined without regard to this subparagraph) paid during such calendar year and self-employment income (as
defined in section 211(b)) for taxable years ending with or during such calendar year.
"(ii) For purposes of this subparagraph:
"(I) The term 'recorded noncovered earnings' means earnings derived from noncovered service (other than noncovered service as a member of a uniformed service (as defined in section 210(m)) for which satisfactory evidence is determined by the Commissioner to be available in the records of the Commissioner.
"(II) The term 'adjusted total covered earnings' means, in connection with an individual for any calendar year, the sum of the wages paid to the individual during such calendar year (as adjusted under subsection (b)(3)) plus the self-employment income derived by the individual during any taxable year ending with or during such calendar year (as adjusted under subsection (b)(3)).
"(iii) The Commissioner of Social Security shall provide by regulation or other public guidance for methods for determining whether satisfactory evidence is available in the records of the Commissioner for earnings for noncovered service (other than noncovered service as a member of a uniformed service (as defined in section 210(m))) to be treated as recorded noncovered earnings. Such meth-
ods shall provide for reliance on earnings information which is provided to the Commissioner by employers and which, as determined by the Commissioner, constitute a reasonable basis for treatment of earnings for noncovered service as recorded noncovered earnings. In making determinations under this clause, the Commissioner shall also take into account any documentary or other evidence of earnings derived from noncovered service by an individual which is provided by the individual to the Commissioner and which the Commissioner considers appropriate as a reasonable basis for treatment of such earnings as recorded noncovered earnings.
"(D) Upon the death of an individual whose primary insurance amount is computed or recomputed under this paragraph, such primary insurance amount shall be computed or recomputed under paragraph (1) of this subsection.
"(E) In the case of any individual whose primary insurance amount would be computed under this paragraph who first becomes entitled after 1985 to a monthly periodic payment made by a foreign employer or foreign country that is based in whole or in part upon noncovered service, the primary insurance amount of such individual shall be computed or recomputed under paragraph (7) or paragraph (1), as applicable, for months beginning with the
first month of the individual's initial entitlement to such monthly periodic payment.".
(b) Conforming Amendments.-Section 215(a)(7)(A) of such Act (42 U.S.C. $415(\mathrm{a})(7)(\mathrm{A})$ ) is amended-
(1) in clause (i)-
(A) by striking "after 1985" and inserting "after 1985 and before 2068"; and
(B) by striking "or" at the end;
(2) in clause (ii)-
(A) by striking "after 1985 " each place it appears and inserting "after 1985 and before 2068"; and
(B) by adding "or" at the end;
(3) by inserting after clause (ii) the following:
"(iii) is an individual described in paragraph (8)(E),"; and
(4) by striking "hereafter in this paragraph and in subsection (d)(3)" and inserting "in this paragraph, paragraphs (8) and (9), and subsection (d)(3)".
(c) Effective Date.-The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to monthly insurance benefits payable on or after January 1, 2025.

## SEC. 3. BENEFIT CALCULATION DURING TRANSITION PE-

 RIOD.(a) In General.-Section 215(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 415(a)), as amended by section 2, is further amended by inserting after paragraph (8) the following:
"(9) In the case of an individual whose primary insurance amount would be computed under paragraph (1) of this subsection-
"(A) who first becomes eligible for an old-age or disability insurance benefit after 2024 and before 2068,
"(B) who subsequently becomes entitled to such benefit, and
"(C) who has earnings derived from noncovered service performed in a year after 1977,
the primary insurance amount of such individual shall be the higher of the amount computed or recomputed under paragraph (7) without regard to this paragraph or the amount that would be computed or recomputed under paragraph (8) if the individual were an individual described in subparagraph (A) of such paragraph.".
(b) Conforming Amendment.-Section 215(a)(7)(A) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 415(a)(7)(A)), as amended by section 2(b), is further amended by striking
"shall be computed or recomputed" and inserting "shall, subject to paragraph (9), be computed or recomputed".
(c) Effective Date.-The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to monthly insurance benefits payable on or after January 1, 2025.

## SEC. 4. ADDITIONAL MONTHLY PAYMENT FOR INDIVIDUALS WHOSE BENEFIT AMOUNT IS REDUCED BY THE WINDFALL ELIMINATION PROVISION.

(a) In General.-Section 215(a) of such Act (42 U.S.C. $415(\mathrm{a})$ ), as amended by sections 2 and 3, is further amended by adding at the end the following:
"(10)(A) For any month beginning at least 270 days after the date of enactment of the Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023, the Commissioner of Social Security shall, subject to subparagraphs (C) and (D), make an additional monthly payment of $\$ 100$ to each individual who is an eligible individual for such month, and an additional monthly payment of $\$ 50$ to each individual (other than an eligible individual) who is entitled to a benefit under section 202 for such month on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of such eligible individual.
"(B) For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'eligible individual' for a month means an individual who-
"(i)(I) first becomes eligible for an old-age or disability insurance benefit under this title before 2025, or
"(II) is an individual described in paragraph (8)(E), and
"(ii) is entitled to an old-age or disability insurance benefit under this title for such month based on a primary insurance amount that was computed or recomputed under paragraph (7) (and not subsequently recomputed under any other paragraph of this subsection).
"(C) In any case in which this title provides that no monthly benefit under section 202 or 223 shall be paid to an individual for a month, no additional monthly payment shall be paid to the individual for such month. This subparagraph shall not apply in the case of an individual whose monthly benefit under section 202 or 223 is reduced, regardless of the amount of the reduction, based on the individual's receipt of other income or benefits for such month or the application of section 203(a) or due to the adjustment or recovery of an overpayment under section 204.
"(D)(i) An individual is not entitled to receive more than one additional monthly payment for a month under this paragraph.
"(ii) An eligible individual who is entitled to a benefit under section 202 on the basis of the wages and self-employment income of another eligible individual for a month shall receive an additional monthly payment under this paragraph in the amount of $\$ 100$ for such month.
"(E) Except for purposes of adjustment or recovery of an overpayment under section 204, an additional monthly payment under this paragraph shall not be subject to any reduction or deduction under this title.
"(F) Whenever benefit amounts under this title are increased by any percentage effective with any month as a result of a determination made under subsection (i), each of the dollar amounts in subparagraph (A) shall be increased by the same percentage for months beginning with such month.".
(b) Effective Date.-The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to monthly insurance benefits payable for months beginning at least 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 5. REPORTING OF NONCOVERED EARNINGS ON SOCIAL SECURITY ACCOUNT STATEMENTS.
(a) In General.-Section 1143(a)(2) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1320b-13(a)(2)) is amended(1) by redesignating subparagraphs
through ( E ) as subparagraphs ( C ) through ( F ); and
(2) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:
"(B) the amount of earnings derived by the eligible individual from service performed after 1977 which did not constitute employment (as defined in section 210), not including service as a member of a uniformed service (as defined in section $210(\mathrm{~m})$ ), as shown by the records of the Commissioner at the date of the request;".
(b) Effective Date.-The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to Social Security account statements issued on or after January 1, 2025.

SEC. 6. STUDY ON PARTNERING WITH STATE AND LOCAL PENSION SYSTEMS.
(a) Study.-
(1) In general.-The Commissioner of Social Security shall study and test the administrative feasibility of partnering with State and local pension systems, or other governmental entities, to improve the collection and sharing of information relating to State and local noncovered pensions.
(2) Coordination with state and local pension systems.-In conducting the study described in paragraph (1), the Commissioner shall co-
ordinate with State and local pension systems that reflect the diversity of systems and individual experiences to explore the development of automated data exchange agreements that facilitate reporting of information relating to noncovered pensions.
(b) Report.-The Commissioner of Social Security shall conclude the study described in subsection (a) not later than 4 years after the date of enactment of this Act. As soon as possible after conclusion of the study and not later than $4^{1 / 2}$ years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Commissioner shall submit to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate a report on the results of the study. Such report shall include the following:
(1) A discussion of how the automated data exchange agreements could be implemented to cover noncovered pensions nationally, including the range of implementation timelines across State and local pension systems, or with other governmental entities.
(2) An analysis of the barriers to developing automated data exchange agreements and lessons learned that can help address these barriers.
(3) A description of alternative methods for obtaining information related to noncovered pensions,
and an analysis of the barriers to obtaining noncovered pension data through such methods.
(4) An explanation of how coverage information is obtained by the Social Security Administration when an individual purchases service credits to apply to a new covered or noncovered pension after moving from another covered or noncovered pension within the State or in another State.
(5) An estimate of the total amount, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, of noncovered pensions not reported to the Social Security Administration as a result of noncompliance with voluntary reporting policies.
(c) State and Local Pension Information To Be Requested by the Commissioner.-Section 202 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 402) is amended by inserting after subsection (l) the following:
"(m) State and Local Pension Information To Be Requested By the Commissioner.-
"(1) The Commissioner may partner with States to request information, including the information specified in paragraph (2), with respect to any designated distribution (as defined in section $3405(\mathrm{e})(1)$ of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) from an employer deferred compensation plan (as
defined in section 3405(e)(5) of such Code) of the State (or political subdivision thereof) to a participant of such plan in any case in which any portion of such participant's earnings for service under such plan did not constitute 'employment' as defined in section 210 for purposes of this title.
"(2) The information specified in this paragraph is the following:
"(A) The name and Social Security account number of the participant receiving the designated distribution.
"(B) The dollar amount of the designated distribution and the date paid.
"(C) The date on which the participant initially became eligible for a designated distribution under the plan and, if different, the date of payment of the initial designated distribution.
"(D) The dates of each period of service under the plan that did not constitute 'employment' as defined in section 210 for purposes of this title, and the dates of any other period of service under the plan.".
(d) Definitions.-In this section-

4 the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 415(a)(7))); and

6 other pension.

# The Windfall Elimination Provision (WEP) in Social Security: Proposals for a New Proportional Formula 

## Background

Social Security is a work-based federal insurance program that provides monthly cash benefits to workers and their eligible family members in the event of a worker's retirement, disability, or death. Although participation in Social Security is compulsory for most workers, about 6\% of workers in paid employment or self-employment are not covered by Social Security (i.e., earnings are not taxable or creditable for program purposes).

The regular Social Security benefit formula is progressive, replacing a greater share of career-average earnings for low-paid workers than for high-paid workers. Careeraverage earnings in Social Security are calculated as average indexed monthly earnings (AIME), which is the monthly average of the highest 35 years of covered earnings after indexing for wage growth. If a person has earnings not covered by Social Security, those noncovered earnings are shown as zeros in their Social Security earnings records. As a result, the regular formula cannot distinguish workers who have low career-average earnings because they worked for many years at low earnings in covered employment from workers who appear to have low career-average earnings because they worked for many years in jobs not covered by Social Security. Therefore, based on the regular formula, a worker who worked in both covered and noncovered employment might receive a higher replacement rate of career-average earnings than a worker with the same earnings who spent an entire career in covered employment (see Table 1, column [1]). The windfall elimination provision (WEP) is designed to remove such an unintended advantage, or windfall, for certain beneficiaries with earnings not covered by Social Security.

## The Current WEP Formula

The regular Social Security benefit formula applies three factors- $90 \%, 32 \%$, and $15 \%$-to three different brackets of a worker's AIME. The result is the primary insurance amount (PIA), which is the worker's basic monthly benefit at the full retirement age before any adjustments. Under current law, the WEP reduction is based on years of coverage (YOCs). The amount of substantial covered earnings needed for a YOC is $\$ 29,700$ in 2023. For people with 20 or fewer YOCs, the WEP reduces the first factor from $90 \%$ to $40 \%$. For each year of substantial covered earnings in excess of 20, the first factor increases by $5 \%$. The WEP factor reaches $90 \%$ for those with 30 or more YOCs, and at that point it is phased out. In addition, the WEP reduction cannot exceed one-half of the pension benefit based on the worker's noncovered employment, and it does not apply to those who do not receive such a pension.

## The Proportional Formula

Shortly before the WEP was enacted in 1983 (P.L. 98-21), the bipartisan National Commission on Social Security Reform (the Greenspan Commission) described two different methods of eliminating the windfall benefits: (1) the current-law method of adjusting the first replacement factor $(90 \%)$ as discussed above; and (2) a proportional formula. The proportional formula for WEP purposes would apply the regular Social Security benefit formula to all past earnings from both covered and noncovered employment. The resulting benefit would then be multiplied by the ratio of career-average earnings (AIME) from covered employment only to career-average earnings (AIME) from both covered and noncovered employment.

The proportional formula better reflects the Greenspan Commission's recommendation for people with some earnings from noncovered employment to receive the same replacement rate as those workers who spent their entire careers in covered employment (see Table 1, column [3]), whereas the current-law WEP can only approximately achieve that goal (see Table 1, column [2]). However, in 1983, the Social Security Administration (SSA) lacked the data on noncovered earnings needed to make the benefit adjustment under the proportional formula, so Congress adopted the current WEP formula instead. As of 2017, SSA has 35 years of data on earnings from both covered and noncovered employment. This data's availability means that the proportional formula is now an option for Congress to consider.

Table I. Illustrative Examples: Replacement Rates (Benefits as a Share of AIME) Under Alternative Formulas

|  | Regular <br> Formula <br> (w/o WEP) <br> (1) | Current- <br> Law <br> WEP <br> (2) | Propor- <br> tional <br> Formula <br> (3) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Covered: 35 years; <br> Covered: 15 years; |  | years | $72 \%$ |

Source: Congressional Research Service.
Notes: The worker is assumed to earn $\$ 45,000$ (indexed by average wage growth) per year and becomes eligible for benefits in 2023.

## Comparing the Current WEP and the Proportional Formula

If the proportional formula had applied to current beneficiaries in 2018, SSA's Office of the Chief Actuary
(OCACT) estimates that about 1.1 million beneficiaries affected by the current WEP (or 69\%) would have received a higher benefit and about 0.5 million (or $31 \%$ ) would have received a lower benefit. In addition, 13.5 million beneficiaries with some noncovered earnings who were not affected by the current WEP would have received a lower benefit. Therefore, if the proportional formula were applied to new beneficiaries, it would generate program savings.

Below are two examples in which beneficiaries affected by the current WEP would receive lower benefits under the proportional formula:

- Beneficiaries with YOCs near 30. Certain beneficiaries with YOCs near 30 would have a relatively high replacement factor (e.g., $85 \%$ for 29 YOCs ) under current law. Therefore, those beneficiaries' benefit reduction under the current WEP might be smaller than under the proportional formula.
- Beneficiaries with relatively high career-average earnings. Since the current WEP reduction is limited to the first bracket in the PIA formula, it might underadjust the benefit for some high earners with noncovered employment, resulting in a smaller benefit reduction under current law than under the proportional formula.

Current beneficiaries who had noncovered earnings and are exempt from the current-law WEP but would receive a lower benefit using the proportional formula might include (1) beneficiaries with 30 or more years of substantial covered earning; (2) beneficiaries who do not receive a pension based on noncovered work; and (3) beneficiaries who fit both categories.

## Legislation in $118^{\text {th }}$ Congress

Two bills introduced in 2023 would replace the current-law WEP approach with a proportional formula for certain individuals who would become eligible for Social Security benefits in 2025 or later: (1) H.R. 4260 (the Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023), introduced by Representative Richard E. Neal; and (2) H.R. 5342 (the Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023), introduced by Representative Jodey Arrington.

## No Benefit Cuts Relative to Current Law

Because the proportional formula could reduce Social Security benefits for some future beneficiaries with noncovered employment compared to current law, both bills provide a protection provision, wherein individuals would receive a benefit based on the higher of the current WEP formula or the proportional formula. H.R. 4260 would apply the protection provision to all future beneficiaries, and as with current law, the proportional formula would not apply to workers who do not receive a noncovered pension or who have 30 or more years of substantial covered earnings. In contrast, H.R. 5342 would apply the protection provision during the transitional period for new beneficiaries who become eligible for benefits during 2025 through 2067. For those who become eligible in 2068 and later, benefits would be based solely on the proportional formula.

## Additional Monthly Payments to Current Beneficiaries

As discussed earlier, the proportional formula could provide a higher benefit to certain beneficiaries compared to current law, so both bills would provide additional monthly payments to current WEP-affected beneficiaries who are first eligible for benefits before 2025. H.R. 4260 would provide worker beneficiaries (but not dependents) an additional monthly payment equal to the lesser of $\$ 150$ or the current WEP reduction amount. H.R. 5342 would provide an additional monthly payment of $\$ 100$ to workers and $\$ 50$ to dependents. The additional monthly payments would begin nine months after enactment of the respective bill, would increase with cost-of-living adjustments, and would be exempt from most benefit adjustments under Social Security. The additional monthly payment under H.R. 4260 would be excluded in determining eligibility and the benefit amount under the Supplemental Security Income program.

## Cost Estimates and Funding Rules

The OCACT estimates that H.R. 4260 would cost about $\$ 30.1$ billion from 2023 through 2032, net of additional revenue from income taxation, including $\$ 1.5$ billion for the new proportional formula and $\$ 28.7$ billion for the additional monthly payments. Over the 75-year projection period, the present value of the overall net cost would be about $\$ 110.1$ billion. The bill would provide transfers from the General Fund of the Treasury to the Social Security trust funds in amounts needed to fully offset the bill's costs, so it would have no effect on Social Security's long-term financial outlook.

The OCACT estimates that H.R. 5342 would cost about $\$ 23.9$ billion from 2023 through 2032, net of additional revenue from income taxation, including $\$ 1.5$ billion for the new proportional formula and $\$ 22.4$ billion for the additional monthly payments. Over the 75 -year projection period, future savings from the proportional formula would offset the cost of the additional monthly payments and the protection provision during the transitional period, so the bill would have no significant effect on Social Security's long-term financial outlook.

## Other Provisions

The annual Social Security statements that SSA makes available to all eligible workers provide benefit estimates based only on covered employment, with no estimates of the WEP adjustment. Because of this limitation, beneficiaries have argued that they were not given sufficient notice of how much their benefits would be reduced by the WEP. To address this issue, both bills would require SSA to show noncovered as well as covered earnings records on the statements. Moreover, H.R. 4260 would require the statements to include projected benefits using the proportional formula for those workers who would likely be subject to the WEP.
In addition, both bills would require studies on ways to facilitate data exchanges between SSA and state and local governments for purposes of improving WEP administration.

Zhe Li, Analyst in Social Policy

## Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.

# INSURANCE, BENEFITS \& LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ENGAGEMENT REPORT OCTOBER 2023 FOR INFORMATION ONLY 

## Low Account Balances and Gender Savings Gap in Public Sector Workers

According to two recent research studies by the Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI) and the National Association of Government Defined Contribution Administrators (NAGDCA) at the Public Retirement Research Lab (PRRL), public sector workers with defined contribution plans have low account balances, and women continue to lag behind male counterparts in terms of savings. The analyses are from data for 267 plans that include $457(\mathrm{~b})$, 401(a), 401(k), and 403(b) plans and includes over 2.5 million public employees. The following are key findings:

## Account Balances

- Half of public plan participants in their 60s have account balances lower than \$40,000.
- The median account balance of participants in their 40 s is about $\$ 18,000$, as of year-end 2021.

Contributions

- The mean contribution for participants in their 20 s was about $\$ 1,600$ per year (\$130 per month).
- The mean contribution rate for participants in their 20 s was $2.7 \%$ and increases with age to $9.5 \%$ in their 60 s .

Loan Usage

- Percentage of participants who take plan loans range from $1.7 \%$ in their 20 s to $9.6 \%$ in their 40 s and decreases to $5.2 \%$ in their 60s.
- For those in their 40s, the average outstanding loan was about \$9,500.

For behaviors analyzed by gender, the key finding is that men have larger account balances than women across all age groups. The difference is driven by two key forces:

- Men contribute more than women to their retirement accounts in terms of dollars and percentage of salary.
- The median dollar contribution for women in their 30s is $\$ 1,686$, whereas the median contribution for similarly aged men is $\$ 2,391$.
- As a percentage of salary, the median contribution rate is similar for men and women in their 20s ( $2.2 \%$ and $2.1 \%$, respectively). The contribute rate widens with age: 30 s (men: $3.6 \%$, women: $3.1 \%$ ), 50 s (men: $5.4 \%$, women: 4.1\%).
- Men take on more equity risk in their portfolios compared to women.
- Men in their 40s average 51 \% allocated to equities, whereas women allocate $37 \%$.


## (Source) (Source) (Source)

## Older Participants Increasingly Carry Debt into Retirement

A recent report published by the Center for Retirement Research at Boston College found that the share of older Americans with debt has been on the rise over the last several decades. The share of Americans aged 65 and older grew from $38 \%$ in 1989 to $63 \%$ in 2019. The report's data ends before the Covid-19 pandemic, and future research may shed more light as more data becomes available.

Having debt does always signal financial distress. The report distinguishes, for example, low-interest mortgage debt to buy a house, which is financially savvy, with carrying unpaid credit card balances at a high interest rate, student loan debt, and medical debt. The latter types of debt put older households at higher risk of financial distress.

The report also distinguishes low-risk and high-risk borrowers. The latter are subdivided into four subgroups by size:

- Financially constrained (33\%): These households have no levels of wealth, are overleveraged, and struggle to pay for the essentials.
- Credit card borrowers (26\%): Middle-wealth households with no apparent need to borrow compared to the financially constrained group.
- "Too much house" (19\%): Housing debt consumes more than $40 \%$ of income.
- Wealthy spenders (20\%): Although in the top third of wealth distribution, these borrowers spend a quarter of income toward debt payments. About $80 \%$ have credit card debt, and more than a third have second homes.

The researchers recognize that no "one-size-fits-all" solution exists to reduce exposure for high-risk borrowers. For example, credit card borrowers could benefit from traditional financial counseling and better consumer disclosures, whereas those with housing debt can benefit from refinancing or downsizing. (Source) (Source)

## Social Security COLA: 3.2\% for 2024

The Social Security Administration (SSA) announced that the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) for the 71 million beneficiaries of Social Security benefits will be $3.2 \%$ beginning 2024. Monthly benefits will increase an average $\$ 59$ to an average of $\$ 1,907$.

The 2024 COLA is lower than the increase of $5.9 \%$ for 2022 and $8.7 \%$ for 2023 but is higher than the average COLA of $2.6 \%$ over the last 20 years.

For beneficiaries who continue to work, the earnings limit for workers younger than full retirement age will be $\$ 22,320$, and those who reach full retirement age in 2024 will be $\$ 59,520$. The SSA deducts $\$ 1$ of benefits for every $\$ 3$ earned over the earnings limits until the worker reaches full retirement age. (Source) (Source)

## Social Security COLA Announcement Prompts Call for Better Inflation Protection

According to a survey conducted by The Senior Citizens League (TSCL), an advocacy group for seniors established in 1992, 80\% of retirees believe Congress should provide a COLA that more accurately reflects the inflation experienced by older adults.

The Social Security COLA is currently calculated with the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, which does not survey retired households with people older than age 62. Retirees tend to spend a larger share of their income on housing and medical costs, which rise more quickly than overall inflation.

TSCL believes that a "senior" CPI would have resulted in 4\% for 2024 instead of the announced 3.2\%. According to TSCL, Social Security benefits have lost about 36\% purchasing power since 2000.

At the same time, the need for Social Security benefit increases can conflict with sustainability since the Social Security trust fund is projected to be depleted by 2033, where benefits will be reduced to $80 \%$ unless the issue is addressed by Congress.
(Source)

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Medicare Part D Retiree Drug Subsidy (RDS) Program Reconciliation Plan Year 7/1/2021-6/30/2022

Staff completed and successfully submitted the RDS subsidy payment request to CMS/RDS. Total subsidy amount received is $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 6 8 1 , 5 4 6}$. Below is a breakdown and total amount of the subsidy payments received:

| PLAN | SUBSIDY AMOUNT RECEIVED |
| :--- | :--- |
| Anthem Blue Cross | $\$ 11,115,882$ |
| Cigna Medical | $\$ 212,801$ |
| Kaiser Permanente | $\$ 358,781$ |
| LACFF Local 1014 | $\$ 994,082$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 6 8 1 , 5 4 6}$ |

*\$12,463,947 for FY 2020-2021
As a background, the Retiree Drug Subsidy (RDS) program was authorized by Medicare Part D of the Medicare Modernization Act and permits employers and unions with qualifying prescription drug plans to receive retiree drug subsidy payments from the federal government.

## 2024 Medicare Parts A \& B Premiums Announced

The Center for Medicare \& Medicaid Services (CMS) has announced the upcoming 2024 Part B Premium and Deductible. The standard Part B premium for 2024 will be $\$ 174.70$ an increase of $\$ 9.80$ per month from $\$ 164.90$ for a single party and $\$ 349.40$ for the 2party reimbursements in 2024.

Staff and Segal are working on the annual analysis report needed for submission to the Board of Supervisor's (BOS) seeking final approval to continue the Medicare Part B Reimbursement Program. As you know this is an annual process that is required to continue reimbursing eligible retirees and their eligible dependents. We are also working with the CEO's office to get this item placed on the BOS agenda and will report back upon finding out the actual date in which this item will be presented to the Board.

## Medicare Part B Income-Related Monthly Adjustment Amounts

Since 2007, the Part B monthly premium has been based on income. The 2024 Part B total premiums for high-income beneficiaries are shown in the following table:

| Full Part B Coverage |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beneficiaries who file <br> individual tax returns with <br> modified adjusted gross <br> income: | Beneficiaries who file <br> joint tax returns with <br> modified adjusted gross <br> income: | lncome-Related <br> Monthly <br> Adjustment <br> Amount | Total <br> Monthly <br> Premium <br> Amount |
| Less than or equal to <br> $\$ 103,000$ | Less than or equal to <br> $\$ 206,000$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 174.70$ |
| Greater than $\$ 103,000$ and <br> less than or equal to <br> $\$ 129,000$ | Greater than $\$ 206,000$ <br> and less than or equal to <br> $\$ 258,000$ | $\$ 69.90$ | $\$ 244.60$ |
| Greater than $\$ 129,000$ and <br> less than or equal to <br> $\$ 161,000$ | Greater than $\$ 258,000$ <br> and less than or equal to <br> $\$ 322,000$ | $\$ 174.70$ | $\$ 349.40$ |
| Greater than $\$ 161,000$ and <br> less than or equal to <br> $\$ 193,000$ | Greater than $\$ 322,000$ <br> and less than or equal to <br> $\$ 386,000$ | $\$ 279.50$ | $\$ 454.20$ |
| Greater than $\$ 193,000$ and <br> less than $\$ 500,000$ | Greater than $\$ 386,000$ <br> and less than $\$ 750,000$ | $\$ 384.30$ | $\$ 559.00$ |
| Greater than or equal to <br> $\$ 500,000$ | Greater than or equal to <br> $\$ 750,000$ | $\$ 419.30$ | $\$ 594.00$ |

# Retiree Healthcare Division Trend Report 

SEPT. 2022 - SEPT. 2023
Updated 10/23/2023


|  | Beginning Work Item Count | Work Items Received | Work Items Completed | Work Item Rejected | Work Items Delayed | Work Item Ending Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep-22 | 14795 | 3885 | 4712 | 1121 | 2464 | 12847 |
| Oct-22 | 12847 | 4252 | 6013 | 2218 | 2361 | 8868 |
| Nov-22 | 8868 | 4822 | 3911 | 1114 | 2884 | 8665 |
| Dec-22 | 8665 | 7418 | 4728 | 2476 | 3116 | 8879 |
| Jan-23 | 8879 | 9057 | 4680 | 2448 | 2010 | 10808 |
| Feb-23 | 10808 | 6067 | 4019 | 1934 | 2070 | 10922 |
| Mar-23 | 10922 | 6472 | 5934 | 2411 | 1999 | 9049 |
| Apr-23 | 9049 | 6144 | 5065 | 1458 | 2930 | 8670 |
| May-23 | 8670 | 5294 | 5503 | 926 | 2412 | 7535 |
| Jun-23 | 7535 | 4458 | 5039 | 1018 | 2263 | 5939 |
| Jul-23 | 5939 | 3576 | 3438 | 730 | 1908 | 5157 |
| Aug-23 | 5157 | 4471 | 4172 | 836 | 3746 | 4620 |
| Sep-23 | 4620 | 3787 | 3181 | 698 | 3282 | 4515 |

## Retirees Monthly Age Breakdown

 SEPT. 2022 - SEPT. 2023Disability Retirement
MONTH
64 YRS. \& UNDER
65 YRS. \& OVER
TOTAL ENROLLMENT

| Sep 2022 | 44 | 3 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 2022 | 42 | 8 | 50 |
| Nov 2022 | 48 | 5 | 53 |
| Dec 2022 | 59 | 5 | 64 |
| Jan 2023 | 58 | 5 | 63 |
| Feb 2023 | 43 | 5 | 48 |
| Mar 2023 | 53 | 4 | 58 |
| Apr 2023 | 76 | 5 | 80 |
| May 2023 | 2 | 65 |  |
| Jun 2023 | 60 | 3 | 75 |
| Jul 2023 | 73 | 4 | 39 |
| Aug 2023 | 36 | 2 | 62 |
| Sep 2023 | 58 | 59 |  |



PLEASE NOTE:

- Next Report will include the following dates: October 1, 2022, throught October 31, 2023.


## Retirees Monthly Age Breakdown

 SEPT. 2022 - SEPT. 2023Service Retirement

| MONTH | 64 YRS. \& UNDER | 65 YRS \& OVER | TOTAL ENROLLMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 2022 | 118 | 67 | 185 |
| Oct 2022 | 155 | 129 | 284 |
| Nov 2022 | 164 | 131 | 295 |
| Dec 2022 | 150 | 92 | 242 |
| Jan 2023 | 125 | 66 | 191 |
| Feb 2023 | 218 | 133 | 351 |
| Mar 2023 | 218 | 133 | 351 |
| Apr 2023 | 99 | 120 | 219 |
| May 2023 | 99 | 101 | 200 |
| Jun 2023 | 132 | 96 | 228 |
| Jul 2023 | 158 | 74 | 232 |
| Aug 2023 | 255 | 35 | 290 |
| Sep 2023 | 116 | 86 | 202 |



PLEASE NOTE:

- Next Report will include the following dates: October 1, 2022, through October 31, 2023.

MEDICARE NO LOCAL 1014-103123

| Medicare Part B Reimbursement and Penalty Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PAY PERIOD | 10/31/2023 |  |
| Deduction Code | No. of Members | Reimbursement Amount | No. of Penalties | Penalty Amount |
| ANTHEM BC III |  |  |  |  |
| 240 | 7499 | \$1,140,055.95 | 2 | \$129.57 |
| 241 | 134 | \$20,020.80 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 242 | 935 | \$145,700.94 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 243 | 4502 | \$1,391,382.01 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 244 | 17 | \$2,587.20 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 245 | 55 | \$8,613.20 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 246 | 15 | \$2,162.40 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 247 | 151 | \$24,025.50 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 248 | 15 | \$4,327.30 | 1 | \$43.00 |
| 249 | 69 | \$21,600.00 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 250 | 17 | \$5,252.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 13,409 | \$2,765,727.40 | 3 | \$172.57 |
| CIGNA - PREFERRED with RX |  |  |  |  |
| 321 | 33 | \$4,653.50 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 322 | 7 | \$997.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 324 | 21 | \$6,446.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 327 | 1 | \$104.90 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 62 | \$12,202.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| KAISER SR. ADVANTAGE |  |  |  |  |
| 394 | 19 | \$3,058.70 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 397 | 4 | \$144.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 398 | 8 | \$2,622.00 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 403 | 11875 | \$1,774,942.48 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 413 | 1547 | \$239,516.64 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 418 | 6306 | \$1,932,940.19 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 419 | 228 | \$31,280.80 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 426 | 250 | \$38,157.40 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 427 | 1 | (\$268.00) | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 445 | 2 | \$329.80 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 446 | 1 | \$145.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 451 | 36 | \$5,177.20 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 455 | 6 | \$989.40 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 457 | 14 | \$4,508.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 459 | 1 | \$329.80 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 462 | 85 | \$13,803.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 465 | 1 | \$164.90 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 466 | 30 | \$9,117.70 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 472 | 28 | \$4,298.20 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 476 | 2 | \$259.00 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 478 | 13 | \$4,217.20 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 479 | 1 | \$144.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 482 | 85 | \$12,912.40 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 488 | 40 | \$12,360.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 491 | 1 | \$148.50 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 20,584 | \$4,091,300.91 | 0 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

MEDICARE NO LOCAL 1014-103123

| Medicare Part B Reimbursement and Penalty Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PAY PERIOD | 10/31/2023 |  |
| Deduction Code | No. of Members | Reimbursement Amount | No. of Penalties | Penalty Amount |
| SCAN |  |  |  |  |
| 611 | 288 | \$43,660.40 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 613 | 90 | \$27,423.70 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 620 | 5 | \$773.30 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 622 | 8 | \$1,421.30 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 623 | 2 | \$539.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 393 | 73,818 | 0 | 0 |
| UNITED HEALTHCARE GROUP MEDICARE ADV. HMO |  |  |  |  |
| 701 | 2046 | \$313,444.50 | 1 | \$36.50 |
| 702 | 383 | \$61,608.00 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 703 | 1338 | \$413,901.90 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 704 | 97 | \$15,708.80 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 705 | 45 | \$14,575.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 3,909 | \$819,238.30 | 1 | \$36.50 |
| Grand Total: | 38,357 | \$7,762,287.01 | 4 | \$209.07 |


| Medicare Part B Reimbursement and Penalty Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PAY PERIOD | $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{3 1 / 2 0 2 3}$ |$]$


| Medicare Part B Reimbursement and Penalty Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PAY PERIOD | 10/31/2023 |  |
| Deduction Code | No. of Members | Reimbursement Amount | No. of Penalties | Penalty Amount |
| SCAN |  |  |  |  |
| 611 | 288 | \$43,660.40 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 613 | 90 | \$27,423.70 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 620 | 5 | \$773.30 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 622 | 8 | \$1,421.30 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 623 | 2 | \$539.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 393 | 73,818 | 0 | 0 |
| UNITED HEALTHCARE GROUP MEDICARE ADV. HMO |  |  |  |  |
| 701 | 2046 | \$313,444.50 | 1 | \$36.50 |
| 702 | 383 | \$61,608.00 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 703 | 1338 | \$413,901.90 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 704 | 97 | \$15,708.80 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 705 | 45 | \$14,575.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 3,909 | \$819,238.30 | 1 | \$36.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCAL 1014 |  |  |  |  |
| 804 | 181 | \$39,405.90 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 805 | 222 | \$42,705.60 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 806 | 701 | \$260,887.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 807 | 48 | \$10,255.50 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 808 | 16 | \$5,276.80 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 812 | 253 | \$45,477.30 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| 813 | 1 | \$164.90 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Plan Total: | 1,422 | \$404,173.10 | 0 | \$0.00 |
| Grand Total: | 39,779 | \$8,166,460.11 | 4 | \$209.07 |

## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums

| Carrier Codes | Member Count | Premium Amount | Member Amount | County Subsidy Amount | Total | Adjustments | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anthem Blue Cross Prudent Buyer Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201 | 461 | \$438,761.36 | \$66,051.87 | \$377,213.03 | \$443,264.90 | \$0.00 | \$443,264.90 |
| 202 | 242 | \$454,876.56 | \$39,310.30 | \$406,613.67 | \$445,923.97 | (\$1,871.92) | \$444,052.05 |
| 203 | 74 | \$156,323.52 | \$25,561.00 | \$128,650.04 | \$154,211.04 | \$0.00 | \$154,211.04 |
| 204 | 29 | \$35,469.03 | \$10,151.45 | \$25,317.58 | \$35,469.03 | \$0.00 | \$35,469.03 |
| SUBTOTAL | 806 | \$1,085,430.47 | \$141,074.62 | \$937,794.32 | \$1,078,868.94 | (\$1,871.92) | \$1,076,997.02 |

Anthem Blue Cross I

| 211 | 567 | $\$ 779,409.54$ | $\$ 50,309.37$ | $\$ 740,513.42$ | $\$ 790,822.79$ | $(\$ 1,374.62)$ | $\$ 789,448.17$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 212 | 237 | $\$ 589,768.76$ | $\$ 26,005.83$ | $\$ 538,121.19$ | $\$ 564,127.02$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 564,127.02$ |
| 213 | 73 | $\$ 213,377.54$ | $\$ 24,099.57$ | $\$ 189,277.97$ | $\$ 213,377.54$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 213,377.54$ |
| 214 | 21 | $\$ 38,191.65$ | $\$ 3,564.54$ | $\$ 38,264.41$ | $\$ 41,828.95$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 41,828.95$ |
| 215 | 1 | $\$ 464.72$ | $\$ 18.59$ | $\$ 446.13$ | $\$ 464.72$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 464.72$ |
| SUBTOTAL | 899 | $\$ 1,621,212.21$ | $\$ 103,997.90$ | $\$ 1,506,623.12$ | $\$ 1,610,621.02$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 1 , 3 7 4 . 6 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 6 0 9 , 2 4 6 . 4 0}$ |

Anthem Blue Cross II

| 221 | 2,356 | $\$ 3,238,604.72$ | $\$ 175,270.78$ | $\$ 3,090,910.97$ | $\$ 3,266,181.75$ | $\$ 2,848.47$ | $\$ 3,269,030.22$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 222 | 2,034 | $\$ 5,057,638.82$ | $\$ 115,971.09$ | $\$ 4,841,878.51$ | $\$ 4,957,849.60$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 4,957,849.60$ |
| 223 | 926 | $\$ 2,712,525.44$ | $\$ 107,390.41$ | $\$ 2,575,905.23$ | $\$ 2,683,295.64$ | $\$ 5,845.96$ | $\$ 2,689,141.60$ |
| 224 | 231 | $\$ 420,108.15$ | $\$ 40,192.12$ | $\$ 381,734.68$ | $\$ 421,926.80$ | $(\$ 1,818.95)$ | $\$ 420,107.85$ |
| SUBTOTAL | $\mathbf{5 , 5 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 4 2 8 , 8 7 7 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 3 8 , 8 2 4 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 8 9 0 , 4 2 9 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 3 2 9 , 2 5 3 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 8 7 5 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 3 3 6 , 1 2 9 . 2 7}$ |

## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums

| Carrier Codes | Member Count | Premium Amount | Member Amount | County Subsidy Amount | Total | Adjustments | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anthem Blue Cross III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 240 | 7,551 | \$4,229,453.41 | \$563,323.21 | \$3,723,103.79 | \$4,286,427.00 | (\$8,959.52) | \$4,277,467.48 |
| 241 | 134 | \$243,385.60 | \$21,510.96 | \$225,193.90 | \$246,704.86 | \$0.00 | \$246,704.86 |
| 242 | 937 | \$1,689,382.40 | \$97,822.36 | \$1,563,586.29 | \$1,661,408.65 | (\$1,789.60) | \$1,659,619.05 |
| 243 | 4,509 | \$5,048,440.20 | \$527,072.74 | \$4,380,169.49 | \$4,907,242.23 | (\$3,342.60) | \$4,903,899.63 |
| 244 | 17 | \$17,041.14 | \$1,664.01 | \$15,377.13 | \$17,041.14 | \$0.00 | \$17,041.14 |
| 245 | 56 | \$56,135.52 | \$5,072.25 | \$51,063.27 | \$56,135.52 | \$0.00 | \$56,135.52 |
| 246 | 15 | \$33,478.65 | \$2,231.91 | \$31,246.74 | \$33,478.65 | \$0.00 | \$33,478.65 |
| 247 | 154 | \$343,714.14 | \$20,488.93 | \$320,993.30 | \$341,482.23 | \$0.00 | \$341,482.23 |
| 248 | 15 | \$23,346.45 | \$1,992.22 | \$21,354.23 | \$23,346.45 | \$0.00 | \$23,346.45 |
| 249 | 70 | \$110,506.53 | \$4,824.94 | \$101,012.30 | \$105,837.24 | \$0.00 | \$105,837.24 |
| 250 | 17 | \$29,652.25 | \$2,267.52 | \$27,384.73 | \$29,652.25 | \$0.00 | \$29,652.25 |
| SUBTOTAL | 13,475 | \$11,824,536.29 | \$1,248,271.05 | \$10,460,485.17 | \$11,708,756.22 | (\$14,091.72) | \$11,694,664.50 |
| CIGNA Network Model Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301 | 234 | \$418,293.72 | \$109,442.73 | \$314,081.96 | \$423,524.69 | (\$1,787.58) | \$421,737.11 |
| 302 | 59 | \$193,648.80 | \$46,448.16 | \$140,984.06 | \$187,432.22 | \$0.00 | \$187,432.22 |
| 303 | 10 | \$38,109.90 | \$8,810.53 | \$25,488.38 | \$34,298.91 | \$0.00 | \$34,298.91 |
| 304 | 14 | \$33,206.46 | \$15,056.31 | \$18,150.15 | \$33,206.46 | \$0.00 | \$33,206.46 |
| SUBTOTAL | 317 | \$683,258.88 | \$179,757.73 | \$498,704.55 | \$678,462.28 | (\$1,787.58) | \$676,674.70 |
| CIGNA Preferred w/ Rx - Phoenix, AZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 321 | 33 | \$11,446.38 | \$1,470.70 | \$9,975.68 | \$11,446.38 | \$0.00 | \$11,446.38 |
| 322 | 7 | \$12,507.32 | \$714.70 | \$11,792.62 | \$12,507.32 | \$0.00 | \$12,507.32 |
| 324 | 21 | \$14,400.12 | \$1,645.74 | \$12,754.38 | \$14,400.12 | (\$685.72) | \$13,714.40 |
| 327 | 1 | \$2,370.95 | \$474.19 | \$1,896.76 | \$2,370.95 | \$0.00 | \$2,370.95 |
| SUBTOTAL | 62 | \$40,724.77 | \$4,305.33 | \$36,419.44 | \$40,724.77 | (\$685.72) | \$40,039.05 |

## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums

November 2023

| Carrier Codes | Member Count | Premium Amount | Member Amount | County <br> Subsidy <br> Amount | Total | Adjustments | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kaiser/Senior Advantage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 401 | 1,542 | \$1,964,537.12 | \$153,862.60 | \$1,812,685.51 | \$1,966,548.11 | (\$1,265.81) | \$1,965,282.30 |
| 403 | 11,908 | \$2,990,595.72 | \$270,234.88 | \$2,731,940.41 | \$3,002,175.29 | (\$4,267.77) | \$2,997,907.52 |
| 404 | 494 | \$586,180.40 | \$11,106.57 | \$575,073.83 | \$586,180.40 | \$7.63 | \$586,188.03 |
| 405 | 1,377 | \$1,747,910.32 | \$19,001.33 | \$1,725,103.67 | \$1,744,105.00 | $(\$ 6,448.38)$ | \$1,737,656.62 |
| 411 | 1,884 | \$4,769,641.80 | \$211,075.14 | \$4,470,500.96 | \$4,681,576.10 | \$5,047.24 | \$4,686,623.34 |
| 413 | 1,543 | \$2,349,604.22 | \$113,742.84 | \$2,190,002.03 | \$2,303,744.87 | \$1,508.09 | \$2,305,252.96 |
| 414 | 54 | \$131,998.14 | \$782.21 | \$131,215.93 | \$131,998.14 | \$0.00 | \$131,998.14 |
| 418 | 6,272 | \$3,108,546.16 | \$218,627.04 | \$2,844,902.38 | \$3,063,529.42 | (\$8,373.52) | \$3,055,155.90 |
| 419 | 225 | \$327,213.52 | \$4,572.48 | \$316,925.52 | \$321,498.00 | \$0.00 | \$321,498.00 |
| 420 | 107 | \$253,076.40 | \$1,135.30 | \$251,941.10 | \$253,076.40 | \$0.00 | \$253,076.40 |
| 421 | 8 | \$10,126.48 | \$658.21 | \$10,734.08 | \$11,392.29 | \$1,265.81 | \$12,658.10 |
| 422 | 272 | \$689,666.25 | \$2,728.35 | \$710,244.40 | \$712,972.75 | \$0.00 | \$712,972.75 |
| 426 | 249 | \$377,680.00 | \$2,658.88 | \$375,021.12 | \$377,680.00 | (\$1,510.72) | \$376,169.28 |
| 427 | 0 | \$1,432.06 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 428 | 44 | \$107,669.76 | \$489.40 | \$107,180.36 | \$107,669.76 | \$0.00 | \$107,669.76 |
| 430 | 143 | \$361,629.84 | \$3,388.73 | \$358,241.11 | \$361,629.84 | \$0.00 | \$361,629.84 |
| SUBTOTAL | 26,122 \$ | \$19,777,508.19 | \$1,014,063.96 | \$18,611,712.41 | \$19,625,776.37 | (\$14,037.43) | \$19,611,738.94 |

## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums

November 2023

| Carrier Codes | Member Count | Premium Amount | Member Amount | County <br> Subsidy <br> Amount | Total | Adjustments | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kaiser - Colorado |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450 | 3 | \$3,309.75 | \$441.30 | \$2,868.45 | \$3,309.75 | \$0.00 | \$3,309.75 |
| 451 | 36 | \$10,724.40 | \$1,841.01 | \$9,479.19 | \$11,320.20 | \$0.00 | \$11,320.20 |
| 453 | 8 | \$19,516.48 | \$0.00 | \$19,516.48 | \$19,516.48 | \$0.00 | \$19,516.48 |
| 454 | 1 | \$3,293.85 | \$721.63 | \$2,572.22 | \$3,293.85 | \$0.00 | \$3,293.85 |
| 455 | 6 | \$8,358.90 | \$0.00 | \$8,358.90 | \$8,358.90 | \$0.00 | \$8,358.90 |
| 457 | 14 | \$8,229.20 | \$940.48 | \$7,288.72 | \$8,229.20 | \$0.00 | \$8,229.20 |
| 459 | 1 | \$1,683.05 | \$67.32 | \$1,615.73 | \$1,683.05 | \$0.00 | \$1,683.05 |
| SUBTOTAL | 69 | \$55,115.63 | \$4,011.74 | \$51,699.69 | \$55,711.43 | \$0.00 | \$55,711.43 |
| Kaiser - Georgia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 441 | 4 | \$5,182.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,182.00 | \$5,182.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,182.00 |
| 442 | 7 | \$9,068.50 | \$0.00 | \$9,068.50 | \$9,068.50 | \$0.00 | \$9,068.50 |
| 445 | 2 | \$3,363.78 | \$0.00 | \$3,363.78 | \$3,363.78 | \$0.00 | \$3,363.78 |
| 446 | 1 | \$1,681.89 | \$0.00 | \$1,681.89 | \$1,681.89 | \$0.00 | \$1,681.89 |
| 461 | 15 | \$19,432.50 | \$2,435.54 | \$15,701.46 | \$18,137.00 | \$0.00 | \$18,137.00 |
| 462 | 85 | \$33,917.54 | \$4,716.87 | \$34,638.54 | \$39,355.41 | \$0.00 | \$39,355.41 |
| 463 | 4 | \$10,331.96 | \$1,658.89 | \$8,673.07 | \$10,331.96 | \$0.00 | \$10,331.96 |
| 465 | 1 | \$1,681.89 | \$0.00 | \$1,681.89 | \$1,681.89 | \$0.00 | \$1,681.89 |
| 466 | 30 | \$23,423.40 | \$1,639.63 | \$21,783.77 | \$23,423.40 | \$0.00 | \$23,423.40 |
| SUBTOTAL | 149 | \$108,083.46 | \$10,450.93 | \$101,774.90 | \$112,225.83 | \$0.00 | \$112,225.83 |

## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums

November 2023

| Carrier Codes | Member Count | Premium Amount | Member Amount | County Subsidy Amount | Total | Adjustments | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kaiser - Hawaii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 471 | 5 | \$4,773.20 | \$267.30 | \$4,505.90 | \$4,773.20 | \$0.00 | \$4,773.20 |
| 472 | 28 | \$12,185.60 | \$1,740.78 | \$10,444.82 | \$12,185.60 | \$0.00 | \$12,185.60 |
| 473 | 2 | \$3,965.12 | \$1,215.88 | \$2,749.24 | \$3,965.12 | \$0.00 | \$3,965.12 |
| 474 | 4 | \$7,605.12 | \$0.00 | \$7,605.12 | \$7,605.12 | \$0.00 | \$7,605.12 |
| 475 | 3 | \$8,543.76 | \$0.00 | \$8,543.76 | \$8,543.76 | \$0.00 | \$8,543.76 |
| 476 | 2 | \$2,763.68 | \$1,216.02 | \$1,547.66 | \$2,763.68 | \$0.00 | \$2,763.68 |
| 478 | 13 | \$11,211.20 | \$1,448.83 | \$9,762.37 | \$11,211.20 | (\$862.40) | \$10,348.80 |
| 479 | 1 | \$2,409.76 | \$0.00 | \$2,409.76 | \$2,409.76 | \$0.00 | \$2,409.76 |
| SUBTOTAL | 58 | \$53,457.44 | \$5,888.81 | \$47,568.63 | \$53,457.44 | (\$862.40) | \$52,595.04 |
| Kaiser - Oregon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 481 | 2 | \$2,336.86 | \$584.21 | \$1,752.65 | \$2,336.86 | \$0.00 | \$2,336.86 |
| 482 | 85 | \$42,328.30 | \$6,513.59 | \$35,814.71 | \$42,328.30 | \$0.00 | \$42,328.30 |
| 483 | 2 | \$2,914.32 | \$494.99 | \$2,419.33 | \$2,914.32 | \$0.00 | \$2,914.32 |
| 484 | 6 | \$13,973.16 | \$0.00 | \$18,630.88 | \$18,630.88 | \$0.00 | \$18,630.88 |
| 488 | 39 | \$39,518.40 | \$5,789.46 | \$33,728.94 | \$39,518.40 | \$0.00 | \$39,518.40 |
| 491 | 1 | \$1,682.42 | \$0.00 | \$1,682.42 | \$1,682.42 | \$0.00 | \$1,682.42 |
| 498 | 2 | \$5,235.18 | \$279.14 | \$4,956.04 | \$5,235.18 | \$0.00 | \$5,235.18 |
| SUBTOTAL | 137 | \$107,988.64 | \$13,661.39 | \$98,984.97 | \$112,646.36 | \$0.00 | \$112,646.36 |
| SCAN Health Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 611 | 288 | \$71,842.51 | \$15,313.11 | \$55,783.63 | \$71,096.74 | \$0.00 | \$71,096.74 |
| 613 | 90 | \$44,026.20 | \$9,284.64 | \$34,741.56 | \$44,026.20 | \$0.00 | \$44,026.20 |
| SUBTOTAL | 378 | \$115,868.71 | \$24,597.75 | \$90,525.19 | \$115,122.94 | \$0.00 | \$115,122.94 |

## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums



## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums

| Carrier Codes | November 2023 |  |  |  |  | Adjustments | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Member Count | Premium Amount | Member Amount | County <br> Subsidy <br> Amount | Total |  |  |
| Local 1014 Firefighters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 801 | 85 | \$113,449.50 | \$3,897.35 | \$106,882.75 | \$110,780.10 | \$0.00 | \$110,780.10 |
| 802 | 335 | \$806,197.60 | \$25,990.84 | \$777,800.20 | \$803,791.04 | \$2,406.56 | \$806,197.60 |
| 803 | 371 | \$1,053,183.67 | \$30,261.23 | \$1,027,180.60 | \$1,057,441.83 | \$2,838.77 | \$1,060,280.60 |
| 804 | 189 | \$252,258.30 | \$7,928.11 | \$253,597.90 | \$261,526.01 | (\$78,284.30) | \$183,241.71 |
| 805 | 226 | \$543,882.56 | \$16,084.60 | \$527,797.96 | \$543,882.56 | (\$85,345.30) | \$458,537.26 |
| 806 | 703 | \$1,691,811.68 | \$37,831.08 | \$1,632,457.14 | \$1,670,288.22 | (\$523,224.66) | \$1,147,063.56 |
| 807 | 50 | \$141,938.50 | \$2,384.56 | \$139,553.94 | \$141,938.50 | (\$19,851.40) | \$122,087.10 |
| 808 | 16 | \$45,420.32 | \$227.10 | \$45,193.22 | \$45,420.32 | (\$10,553.50) | \$34,866.82 |
| 809 | 17 | \$22,689.90 | \$3,523.61 | \$19,166.29 | \$22,689.90 | \$0.00 | \$22,689.90 |
| 810 | 9 | \$21,659.04 | \$2,839.73 | \$18,819.31 | \$21,659.04 | \$0.00 | \$21,659.04 |
| 811 | 3 | \$8,516.31 | \$2,043.92 | \$6,472.39 | \$8,516.31 | \$0.00 | \$8,516.31 |
| 812 | 254 | \$339,013.80 | \$21,034.88 | \$319,313.62 | \$340,348.50 | (\$89,619.90) | \$250,728.60 |
| 813 | 1 | \$2,406.56 | \$0.00 | \$2,406.56 | \$2,406.56 | (\$329.80) | \$2,076.76 |
| SUBTOTAL | 2,259 | \$5,042,427.74 | \$154,047.01 | \$4,876,641.88 | \$5,030,688.89 | (\$801,963.53) | \$4,228,725.36 |
| Kaiser - Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 393 | 6 | \$9,842.46 | \$1,759.69 | \$8,082.77 | \$9,842.46 | \$0.00 | \$9,842.46 |
| 394 | 20 | \$8,950.40 | \$1,888.53 | \$7,509.39 | \$9,397.92 | \$0.00 | \$9,397.92 |
| 395 | 3 | \$12,225.48 | \$578.35 | \$2,478.02 | \$3,056.37 | \$0.00 | \$3,056.37 |
| 397 | 3 | \$7,453.92 | \$670.85 | \$4,919.59 | \$5,590.44 | \$0.00 | \$5,590.44 |
| 398 | 8 | \$7,096.32 | \$958.00 | \$6,138.32 | \$7,096.32 | \$0.00 | \$7,096.32 |
| SUBTOTAL | 40 | \$45,568.58 | \$5,855.42 | \$29,128.09 | \$34,983.51 | \$0.00 | \$34,983.51 |
| Medical Plan Total | 55,592 | \$57,395,854.10 | \$3,756,198.54 | \$53,208,922.84 | \$56,965,121.38 | (\$833,833.89) | \$56,131,287.49 |

## Medical and Dental Vision Insurance Premiums

November 2023

| Carrier Codes | Member Count | Premium Amount | Member Amount | County Subsidy Amount | Total | Adjustments | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dental/Vision Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIGNA Indemnity Dental/Vision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 501 | 26,209 | \$1,380,900.84 | \$143,972.66 | \$1,253,654.96 | \$1,397,627.62 | (\$1,630.55) | \$1,395,997.07 |
| 502 | 24,235 | \$2,666,845.66 | \$197,145.97 | \$2,439,928.57 | \$2,637,074.54 | (\$2,864.99) | \$2,634,209.55 |
| 503 | 10 | \$648.20 | \$38.89 | \$674.13 | \$713.02 | \$64.82 | \$777.84 |
| SUBTOTAL | 50,454 | \$4,048,394.70 | \$341,157.52 | \$3,694,257.66 | \$4,035,415.18 | (\$4,430.72) | \$4,030,984.46 |
| CIGNA Dental HMO/Vision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 901 | 4,037 | \$188,033.84 | \$20,908.28 | \$170,060.43 | \$190,968.71 | (\$61.21) | \$190,907.50 |
| 902 | 3,061 | \$292,128.48 | \$22,070.95 | \$267,938.09 | \$290,009.04 | \$95.28 | \$290,104.32 |
| 903 | 2 | \$94.22 | \$16.96 | \$77.26 | \$94.22 | \$0.00 | \$94.22 |
| SUBTOTAL | 7,100 | \$480,256.54 | \$42,996.19 | \$438,075.78 | \$481,071.97 | \$34.07 | \$481,106.04 |
| Dental/Vision Plan Total | 57,554 | \$4,528,651.24 | \$384,153.71 | \$4,132,333.44 | \$4,516,487.15 | (\$4,396.65) | \$4,512,090.50 |
| GRAND TOTALS | 113,146 | \$61,924,505.34 | \$4,140,352.25 | \$57,341,256.28 | \$61,481,608.53 | (\$838,230.54) | \$60,643,377.99 |

Anthem Blue Cross Prudent Buyer Plan

| $\$ 630.26$ | 201 | Retiree Only |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,239.88$ | 202 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner |
| $\$ 1,399.26$ | 203 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children |
| $\$ 810.01$ | 204 | Retiree and Children |
| $\$ 172.06$ | 205 | Survivor Children Only Rates |

## Anthem Blue Cross Plan I

| $\$ 904.25$ | 211 | Retiree Only |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,630.31$ | 212 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner |
| $\$ 1,923.10$ | 213 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children |
| $\$ 1,196.44$ | 214 | Retiree and Children |
| $\$ 299.58$ | 215 | Survivor Children Only Rates |

## Anthem Blue Cross Plan II

| $\$ 904.25$ | 221 | Retiree Only |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,630.31$ | 222 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner |
| $\$ 1,923.10$ | 223 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children |
| $\$ 1,196.44$ | 224 | Retiree and Children |
| $\$ 299.58$ | 225 | Survivor Children Only Rates |

Anthem Blue Cross Plan III

| $\$ 365.20$ | 240 | Retiree Only with Medicare |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,167.61$ | 241 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner - One with Medicare (Non-Medicare has Anthem Blue Cross I) |
| $\$ 1,167.61$ | 242 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner - One with Medicare (Non-Medicare has Anthem Blue Cross II) |
| $\$ 726.87$ | 243 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner - Both with Medicare |
| $\$ 653.93$ | 244 | Retiree and Children (Retiree has Medicare; Children have Anthem Blue Cross I) |
| $\$ 653.93$ | 245 | Retiree and Children (Retiree has Medicare; Children have Anthem Blue Cross II) |
| $\$ 1,456.25$ | 246 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children - One with Medicare (Non-Medicare has Anthem Blue Cross I) |
| $\$ 1,456.25$ | 247 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children - One with Medicare (Non-Medicare has Anthem Blue Cross II) |
| $\$ 1,015.45$ | 248 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children - Two with Medicare (Children have Anthem Blue Cross I) |
| $\$ 1,015.45$ | 249 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children - Two with Medicare (Children have Anthem Blue Cross II) |
| $\$ 1,138.02$ | 250 | Member, Spouse/Domestic Partner, Child (3 with Medicare) |

*Benchmark premiums are bolded.

## CIGNA Network Model Plan

| $\$ 1,143.49$ | 301 | Retiree Only |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 2,064.71$ | 302 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner |
| $\$ 2,438.35$ | 303 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children |
| $\$ 1,517.57$ | 304 | Retiree and Children |
| $\$ 378.87$ | 305 | Survivor Children Only Rates |

CIGNA Medicare Select Plus Rx (Available in the Phoenix, AZ area only)

| $\$ 328.00$ | 321 | Retiree Only with Medicare |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,249.22$ | 322 | Retire and Spouse/Domestic Partner/Domestic Partner - One with Medicare |
| $\$ 651.00$ | 324 | Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner -Both with Medicare |
| $\$ 702.09$ | 325 | Retiree and Children |
| $\$ 1,622.87$ | 327 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children - One with Medicare |
| $\$ 1,025.09$ | 329 | Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and Children - Two with Medicare |


| Kaiser |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 774.10$ | 401 | Retiree Only ("Basic") |
| N/A | 402 | Retiree Only ("Supplement") |
| $\$ 235.64$ | 403 | Retiree Only ("Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 894.95$ | 404 | Retiree Only ("Excess I") |
| $\$ 795.39$ | 405 | Retiree Only ( ("Excess II") |
| $\$ 1,408.39$ | 406 | Retiree Only ("Excess III") |
| $\$ 1,543.20$ | 411 | Retiree and Family (All family members are "Basic") |
| N/A | 412 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Supplement"; others are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,004.74$ | 413 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; others are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,664.05$ | 414 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Excess I"; others are "Basic") |
| N/A | 415 | Retiree and Family (Two or more family members are "Supplement") |
| N/A | 416 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; others are "Supplement") |
| N/A | 417 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Excess I"; others are "Supplement") |
| $\$ 466.28$ | 418 | Retiree and Family (Two or more family members are "Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 1,125.59$ | 419 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Excess I"; others are "Senior Advantage" |
| $\$ 1,784.90$ | 420 | Retiree and Family (Two or more family members are "Excess I") |
| N/A | 421 | Survivor Children Only Rates |
| $\$ 1,564.49$ | 422 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Excess II"; others are "Basic") |
| $\$ 2,177.49$ | 423 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Excess III"; others are "Basic") |

*Benchmark premiums are bolded.

## Kaiser (continued)

| N/A | 424 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Supplement'; others are "Excess II") |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| N/A | 425 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Supplement"; others are "Excess III") |
| $\$ 1,026.03$ | 426 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; others are "Excess II") |
| $\$ 1,639.03$ | 427 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage; others are "Excess III") |
| $\$ 1,685.34$ | 428 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Excess I"; others are "Excess II") |
| $\$ 2,298.34$ | 429 | Retiree and Family One family member is "Excess I"; others are "Excess III") |
| $\$ 1,585.78$ | 430 | Retiree and Family (Two or more family members are "Excess II") |
| $\$ 2,198.78$ | 431 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Excess II"; others are "Excess III") |
| $\$ 2,811.78$ | 432 | Retiree and Family (Two or more family members are "Excess III") |

## Kaiser Colorado

| $\$ 793.06$ | 450 | Retiree Only ("Basic" under age 65) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 327.27$ | 451 | Retiree Only ("Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 1,754.57$ | 453 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Basic") |
| $\$ 2,369.25$ | 454 | Retiree and Family (Three or more family members are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,115.33$ | 455 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one family member is "Basic") |
| $\$ 649.55$ | 457 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 1,857.56$ | 458 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; two or more are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,437.60$ | 459 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Senior Advantage"; one or more are "Basic") |

## Kaiser Georgia

| $\$ 847.24$ | 440 | Retiree Only ("Basic" over age 65 with Medicare Part B only <br> $\$ 847.24$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 847.24$ | 441 | Retire Only ("Basic over age 65 with Medicare Part A only) |
| $\$ 361.11$ | 443 | Retiree Only ("Basic over age 65 without Medicare Part A or Medicare Part B) <br> $\$ 1,203.35$ |
| $\$ 144$ | Retiree Only ("Basic" over age 65 - Medicare eligible who is classified as having renal failure) (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one family member is "Basic" over age 65 with <br> Medicare Part B only) |  |
| $\$ 1,203.35$ | 445 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one family member is "Basic" over age 65 with <br> Medicare Part A only) |
| $\$ 847.203 .35$ | 446 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one family member is "Basic" over age 65 without <br> Medicare Part A and B) |
| $\$ 361.11$ | 461 | Retiree Only ("Basic" under age 65) <br> Retiree Only ("Senior Advantage") |

## Kaiser Georgia (continued)

| $\$ 1,689.48$ | 463 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Basic") |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 2,531.72$ | 464 | Retiree and Family (Three or more family members are "Basic) |
| $\$ 1,203.35$ | 465 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one is "Basic") |
| $\$ 717.22$ | 466 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 2,045.59$ | 467 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; two or more are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,559.46$ | 468 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Senior Advantage"; one is "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,915.57$ | 469 | Retiree and Family (Three or more family members are "Senior Advantage"; one is "Basic") |
| $\$ 2,045.59$ | 470 | Retiree and Family (Three or more family members are "Basic"; one is "Senior Advantage" |

## Kaiser Hawaii

| $\$ 795.16$ | 471 | Retiree Only ("Basic" under age 65) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 346.45$ | 472 | Retiree Only ("Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 1,381.42$ | 473 | Retiree Only (Over age 65 without Medicare Part A or Medicare Part B) |
| $\$ 1,585.31$ | 474 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Basic") |
| $\$ 2,375.47$ | 475 | Retiree and Family (Three or more family members are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,136.61$ | 476 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one is "Basic") <br> $\$ 2,171.58$ |
|  | 477 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Basic" under age 65; one is over age 65 without Medicare Part A or <br> Medicare Part B) |
| $\$ 687.90$ | 478 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Senior Advantage" |
| $\$ 1,722.87$ | 479 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one is over age 65 without Medicare Part A or <br> Medicare Part B) |

## Kaiser Oregon

| $\$ 806.67$ | 481 | Retiree Only ("Basic" under age 65) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 465.92$ | 482 | Retiree Only ("Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 1,205.27$ | 483 | Retiree Only (Over age 65 without Medicare Part A or Medicare Part B) |
| $\$ 1,608.34$ | 484 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Basic") |
| $\$ 2,410.01$ | 485 | Retiree and Family (Three or more family members are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,267.59$ | 486 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one is "Basic") |
| N/A | 487 | Retiree Only (Medicare Cost "Supplement" program) |
| $\$ 926.84$ | 488 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Senior Advantage") |
| $\$ 1,110.84$ | 489 | Retiree Only (Over age 65 with Medicare Part A only) |
| $\$ 1,205.27$ | 490 | Retiree Only (Over age 65 with Medicare Part B only) |

*Benchmark premiums are bolded.

## Kaiser Oregon (continued)

| $\$ 1,571.76$ | 491 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one is over age 65 with Medicare Par A only) <br> Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; one is over age 65 without Medicare Part A or |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,666.19$ | 492 | Medicare Part B) |
| $\$ 2,069.26$ | 493 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Senior Advantage"; two or more are "Basic") |
| $\$ 1,728.51$ | 494 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are "Senior Advantage"; one is "Basic") |
| $\$ 2,405.54$ | 495 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are over age 65 without Medicare Part A or Medicare Part B) |
| $\$ 2,216.68$ | 496 | Retiree and Family (Two family members are over age 65 with Medicare Part A only) |
| $\$ 2,216.68$ | 497 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Basic"; one is over age 65 with Medicare Part A only) |
| $\$ 2,006.94$ | 498 | Retiree and Family (One family member is "Basic"; one is over age 65 without Medicare Part A or Medicare Part B) |

## Kaiser Rate Category Definitions

"Basic" - includes those who are under age 65

## Medicare Cost ("Supplement")

-Includes people who have both Part A and Part B of Medicare, who were enrolled in Kaiser's
Medicare supplement ("M" coverage) before July 1, 1987, and who chose to stay in that Kaiser arrangement.
-It is not open to new enrollments.
-People who have left it cannot return to it.
"Senior Advantage"
-Includes participants who are age 65 or older and who have assigned both Medicare Part A and Part B to Kaiser.

## "Excess I"

-Is for participants who have Medicare Part A only.

## "Excess II"

-Is for participants in the Excess Plan who either have Medicare Part B only or are not eligible for Medicare.

## "Excess III"

-Is for participants in the Excess Plan who either have Medicare Parts $A$ and $B$ and have not assigned their Medicare benefits to Kaiser or have not provided their Medicare status to LACERA. Premium is above the Anthem Blue Cross I and II Benchmark rate.
and II Benchmark.

## SCAN Health Plan

| $\$ 304.00$ | 611 | Retiree Only with SCAN <br> Retiree and 1 Dependent - Both with SCAN (Retiree and 1 Dependent $=$ Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner OR <br> Retiree and 1 Child. Both Retiree and Dependent must have Medicare.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 613 | Rethen |
|  |  |  |

United Healthcare Medicare Advantage (UHCMA)
(For both members and dependents who are enrolled in UHCMA, or a family combination of UHCMA/UHC)

| \$293.62 | 701 | Retiree Only with Secure Horizons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,203.81 | 702 | Retiree and 1 Dependent - One with Secure Horizons (Retiree and 1 Dependent = Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner OR Retiree and 1 Child) |
| \$582.24 | 703 | Retiree and 1 Dependent - Both with Secure Horizons (Retiree and 1 Dependent = Retiree and Spouse/Domestic Partner OR Retiree and 1 Child) |
| \$1,360.59 | 704 | Retiree and 2 or More Dependents - One with Secure Horizons (Retiree and 2 or More Dependents $=$ Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and 1 or More Children OR Retiree and 2 or More Children) |
| \$739.02 | 705 | Retiree and 2 or More Dependents - Two with Secure Horizons (Retiree and 2 or More Dependents $=$ Retiree, Spouse/Domestic Partner and 1 or More Children OR Retiree and 2 or More Children) |
| \$261.24 | 706 | Survivor Children Only Rates |

## United Healthcare (UHC)

(For members and dependents under age 65 [no Medicare])

| $\$ 915.18$ | 707 | Retiree Only |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,671.68$ | 708 | Retiree and 1 Dependent |
| $\$ 1,982.16$ | 709 | Retiree and 2 Or More Dependents |

## Local 1014 Firefighters

| $\$ 914.03$ | 801 | Member Under 65 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,648.06$ | 802 | Member + 1 Under 65 |
| $\$ 1,944.04$ | 803 | Member + 2 Under 65 |
| $\$ 914.03$ | 804 | Member with Medicare |
| $\$ 1,648.06$ | 805 | Member $+1 ; 1$ Medicare |
| $\$ 1,648.06$ | 806 | Member $+1 ; 2$ Medicare |
| $\$ 1,944.04$ | 807 | Member $+2 ; 1$ Medicare |
| $\$ 1,944.04$ | 808 | Member $+2 ; 2$ Medicare |

PREMIUMS*

## Local 1014 Firefighters (continued)

| $\$ 914.03$ | 809 | Surviving Spouse Under 65 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,648.06$ | 810 | Surviving Spouse $+1 ;$ Under 65 |
| $\$ 1,944.04$ | 811 | Surviving Spouse +2 Under 65 |
| $\$ 914.03$ | 812 | Surviving Spouse with Medicare |
| $\$ 1,648.06$ | 813 | Surviving Spouse $+1 ; 1$ Medicare |
| $\$ 1,944.04$ | 814 | Spouse $+1 ; 1$ Medicare |
| $\$ 1,648.06$ | 815 | Surviving Spouse $+1 ; 2$ Medicare |
|  |  |  |
| GNA Indemnity - Dental/Vision |  |  |


| $\$ 46.55$ | 501 | Retiree Only |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 99.61$ | 502 | Retiree and Dependent(s) |
| $\$ 57.81$ | 503 | Survivor Children Only Rates |

CIGNA HMO - Dental/Vision

| $\$ 39.02$ | 901 | Retiree Only |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 81.07$ | 902 | Retiree and Dependent(s) |
| $\$ 39.56$ | 903 | Survivor Children Only Rates |

## Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association

## Premium \& Enrollment

## Coverage Month Ending September 2023

| Carrier / Plan | Monthly Premium | Percent of Total | Retirees | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Anthem All Plans | $\$ 25,801,757$ | $45.5 \%$ | 20,679 | $37.3 \%$ |
| Cigna Medical | $\$ 713,709$ | $1.3 \%$ | 379 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Kaiser | $\$ 20,017,184$ | $35.3 \%$ | 26,548 | $47.8 \%$ |
| UnitedHealthcare | $\$ 5,361,207$ | $9.5 \%$ | 5,238 | $9.4 \%$ |
| SCAN Health Plan | $\$ 121,192$ | $0.2 \%$ | 390 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Local 1014 | $\$ 4,633,221$ | $8.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 5 6}$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Combined Medical | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 , 4 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |  |


| Cigna Dental \& Vision <br> (PPO and HMO) | $\$ 4,525,483$ | 57,452 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Monthly Premium


Note: Premiums include LACERA's Administrative Fee of $\$ 8.00$ per member, per plan, per month.
Segal | Premium \& Enrollment Exhibit
5784139_1

## Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association

Claims Experience by Carrier
Coverage Month Ending September 2023


## Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association

Anthem Claims Experience By Plan
Coverage Month Ending September 2023


## Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association

L/nCERA
Kaiser Utilization
Coverage Month Ending September 2023

- Kaiser insures approximately 26,000 LACERA retirees with the majority enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans.
- Kaiser's Periodic Utilization Report (PUR) monitors utilization patterns of LACERA's non-Medicare population in Southern California.

| Category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Period } \\ & 8 / 1 / 2022-7 / 31 / 2023 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prior Period } \\ 8 / 1 / 2021-7 / 31 / 2022 \end{gathered}$ | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Contract Size | 2.36 | 2.37 | -0.42\% |
| Average Members | 9,021 | 8,881 | 1.58\% |
| Inpatient Claims Per Member Per Month | \$241.26 | \$292.06 | -17.39\% |
| Outpatient Claims Per Member Per Month | \$343.60 | \$369.52 | -7.01\% |
| Pharmacy Per Member Per Month | \$132.64 | \$118.76 | 11.69\% |
| Other Per Member Per Month | \$135.07 | \$140.11 | -3.60\% |
| Total Claims Per Member Per Month | \$852.57 | \$920.45 | -7.37\% |
| Total Paid Claims | \$92,295,049 | \$98,100,209 | -5.92\% |
| Large Claims over \$525,000 Pooling Point |  |  |  |
| Number of Claims over Pooling Point | 6 | 5 |  |
| Amount over Pooling Point | \$1,428,418 | \$3,569,358 | -59.98\% |
| \% of Total Paid Claims | 1.55\% | 3.64\% |  |
| Inpatient Days / 1000 | 423.6 | 449.7 | -5.80\% |
| Inpatient Admits / 1000 | 54.3 | 57.2 | -5.07\% |
| Outpatient Visits / 1000 | 14,115.0 | 14,639.7 | -3.58\% |
| Pharmacy Scripts Per Member Per Year | 10.4 | 10.3 | 0.97\% |

Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association
High Cost Claimants (Anthem, Cigna, \& Kaiser)
Coverage Month Ending September 2023



## Stop-Loss \& Pooling Points Overview:

Plan sponsors mitigate the financial risk associated with individual large claimants through reinsurance. Claims exceeding the specified individual pooling threshold are deducted from the carrier's renewal calculation. The pooling credit is offset by the carrier's pooling expense, which is applied to all policyholders.
Anthem and Cigna figures are based on the most recent Claims Experience through Coverage Month. Kaiser's figures are based on Claims Experience period between August through July.
Pooling Points by Carrier:

1. Anthem's pooling points are $\$ 350,000$ for Plans I \& II, and $\$ 300,000$ for Prudent Buyer.
2. Cigna's pooling point is $\$ 100,000$.
3. Kaiser's pooling point is $\$ 525,000$.

## Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association

Prescription Drug Rebates (Anthem)
Coverage Month Ending September 2023



## Rebates Overview:

Pharmacy Benefit Managers negotiate volume-based rebates with drug manufacturers of brand medications. Manufacturer rebates are passed on to plan sponsors and are used to offset pharmaceutical claims expenses.

## Note:

1. Prescription Claims and Rebates Data were provided by CVS.
2. Anthem Prudent Buyer prescription drugs are provided by CarelonRx and are not included in the charts above.

## Segal | Rebates Exhibit



## Notes:

1. Figures above are based on most recent 12 months of Claims Experience through Coverage Month.
2. Dental Claims Experience reflects passive use of Cigna's PPO Dental Network.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Goss, S. (2023, June 21). Letter to Ranking Member Richard Neal on "Public Servants Protection and Fairness Act of 2023." Social Security Office of the Chief Actuary. https://www.ssa.gov/OACT/solvency/RNeal 20230621.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ The WEP reduction amount is $\$ 167.25$ for those with 27 years of substantial earnings (and $\$ 111.50$ for those with 28 years). Therefore, those with 27 or fewer years of substantial earnings would not have a full offset of their WEP reduction based on the additional monthly payment of $\$ 150$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Goss, S. (2023, September 5). Letter to Representative Jodey Arrington on "Equal Treatment of Public Servants Act of 2023." Social Security Office of the Chief Actuary. https://www.ssa.gov/OACT/solvency/JArrington 20231016.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ The WEP reduction amount is $\$ 111.50$ for those with 28 years of substantial earnings (and $\$ 55.75$ for those with 29 years). Therefore, those with 28 or fewer years of substantial earnings would not have a full offset of their WEP reduction based on the additional monthly payment of $\$ 100$, although the additional payment of $\$ 50$ to an eligible dependent may increase the offset.

